		D STATES DISTRICT COURT
		ERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS STERN DIVISION
	VER	SIERN DIVISION
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) Docket No. 11 CR 50062
	Plaintiff,	) Rockford, Illinois
	v.	) Friday, August 31, 2012 ) 2: 30 o' clock p. m
	DAVIDON DOVE	į .
	DAYTON POKE,	)
	Defendant.	j
	TRANSCR	IPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE FREDERICK J. KAPALA		
	APPEARANCES:	
	For the Government:	HON. PATRICK J. FITZGERALD United States Attorney
		(308 West State Street,
		Rockford, IL 61101) by MR. MARK T. KARNER
		Assistant U.S. Attorney
	For the Defendant:	BYRD & TAYLOR
		(308 West State Street, Suite 450,
		Rockford, IL 61101) by
		MR. MARK A. BYRD
	Court Reporter:	Mary T. Lindbloom
		211 South Court Street Rockford, Illinois 61101
		(815) 987-4486

1	THE CLERK: 11 CR 50062, U.S.A. v. Dayton Poke.
2	MR. KARNER: Good afternoon, your Honor. Mark Karner
3	on behalf of the United States.
4	MR. BYRD: Good afternoon, your Honor. Mark Byrd on
5	behalf of defendant Dayton Poke, who's present.
6	THE COURT: Good afternoon. The case comes before the
7	court for hearing on the defendant's motion to quash arrest and
8	suppress physical evidence. Parties ready to proceed?
9	MR. KARNER: We are, Judge.
10	MR. BYRD: Yes, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Before we go, let me ask you, Mr. Byrd.
12	Are you contesting the propriety of the traffic stop itself?
13	MR. BYRD: As far as the traffic stop being for failing
14	to signal turning into a residential driveway, no.
15	THE COURT: Right. Okay. Let's go.
16	MR. KARNER: We call Officer Pruitt.
17	MR. BYRD: Judge, I believe we're going to have to have
18	an issue resolved. This being a motion to quash and suppress, I
19	believe it's my burden, and it was my intent to call witnesses
20	first.
21	MR. KARNER: That's fine. I thought you were kidding
22	about that.
23	THE COURT: Go ahead.
24	MR. BYRD: It's the way we did it on the last motion to
25	suppress.

	Stevens - Direct	
1	THE COURT: That's fine.	
2	MR. BYRD: Okay. Thank you.	
3	At this time, Judge, I'm going to call Sergeant Joe	
4	Stevens.	
5	(Witness duly sworn.)	
6	JOSEPH STEVENS, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN	
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
8	BY MR. BYRD:	
9	Q. Good afternoon, sir. Would you state your name, please?	
10	A. Joseph Stevens, S-t-e-v-e-n-s.	
11	Q. And, Mr. Stevens, how are you employed?	
12	A. I'm a detective-sergeant with the Rockford City Police	
13	Department.	
14	Q. Sergeant Stevens, were you so employed on July 6th of 2011?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. And you would have been on duty in the evening hours?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Did you have occasion to have any involvement in the arrest	
19	or subsequent charging of an individual by the name of Dayton	
20	Poke?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. Are you familiar with Mr. Poke outside of the scope of your	
23	involvement in this case?	
24	A. I'm familiar with who he is.	
25	Q. And you see him in court?	

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. You were not at the scene, correct?
- 3 A. Not initially, no.
- 4 Q. Okay. Did you have occasion to go to the scene at some
- 5 point?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. And can you tell me why you were dispatched to the scene?
- 8 A. I wasn't dispatched to the scene. My officers were working
- 9 that night, and I know they conducted a traffic stop, and I went
- 10 to that location to check on them, see if they needed
- 11 assistance.
- 12 Q. Okay. And during your involvement on the case, did you have
- occasion to issue or draft a document known as a Rockford Police
- 14 Department probable cause statement?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you have occasion to review and sign off on such a
- 17 document for Dayton Poke that day?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. I want to show you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit
- Number 5 and ask you if you'd take a look at this exhibit. Do
- you recognize that document?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And can you tell us what it is?
- 24 A. It's a photocopy of the Rockford Police Department probable
- cause statement that was filled out by Detective Pruitt.

1 So, this was actually prepared by Detective Pruitt? 2 MR. BYRD: Yes. Judge, I object to going into the PC 3 MR. KARNER: 4 statement. This is hearsay and it's relevant. Probable cause is the entire issue on a 5 MR. BYRD: 6 notion to quash and suppress, Judge. 7 MR. KARNER: Well, except they've agreed that the traffic stop was justified prior to the evidence. 8 **Detective** Stevens wasn't here until long after the defendant was in 9 10 So, he has no relevant firsthand testimony to give on 11 the issue of probable cause. 12 MR. BYRD: Judge, we have the issue of whether or not 13 there was probable cause not to stop the vehicle, but rather to 14 conduct the search that led to the discovery of the evidence. 15 MR. KARNER: Again, the vehicle was searched long 16 before Detective Stevens arrived, and Detective Stevens had no 17 firsthand involvement in the search. 18 MR. BYRD: I was just planning on authenticating the 19 probable cause statement, and the reason I did that, Judge, is 20 because it's not clear from the face of the statement whether it 21 was drafted by Sergeant Stevens or Detective Pruitt. 22 THE COURT: Will Detective Pruitt be here? 23 MR. BYRD: Yes. 24 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we just go into the

contents of the probable cause statement through Detective

25

- 1 Pruitt.
- 2 MR. BYRD: That's fine. I'll withdraw that question
- 3 then, Judge.
- 4 THE COURT: Okay.
- 5 BY MR. BYRD:
- 6 Q. Detective Stevens, does this document that's marked in front
- of you as Defendant's Exhibit Number 5 truly and accurately
- 8 reflect the probable cause statement that you reviewed and
- 9 signed off on as supervisor in this case?
- 10 MR. KARNER: I object again. It's not relevant, Judge.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled. I'll let that question stand.
- 12 BY THE WITNESS:
- 13 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat it?
- 14 BY MR. BYRD:
- Q. Does Exhibit 5 truly and accurately reflect the probable
- 16 cause statement that you signed off on as supervisor as to
- 17 Dayton Poke?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Sergeant Stevens, with respect to that vehicle, did you have
- 20 occasion to authorize the impound of that vehicle?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And can you tell me what the basis was for the impound of
- 23 that vehicle?
- 24 A. Well, there were several reasons. The main reason was
- because we felt the vehicle was evidence in the crimes we were

- 1 charging Dayton Poke with.
- Q. Okay. And can you tell me specifically which of the crimes
- 3 that he was charged with at the point that you authorized the
- 4 impound of the vehicle justified the impound?
- 5 A. The drug possession, the gun possession.
- 6 Q. Do you know whether or not the vehicle was properly
- 7 inventoried once it was brought back to the station or prior to
- 8 this impounding?
- 9 A. We have a policy to inventory a vehicle before we impound it
- 10 to secure any valuables inside the vehicle.
- 11 Q. And were you present for the inventory search in the case?
- 12 A. I don't remember if I was there when they inventoried or
- 13 not.
- 14 Q. Do you know whether or not an inventory report was prepared
- 15 for this vehicle?
- 16 A. There is no specific inventory report.
- Q. When you inventory a vehicle, there's some record maintained
- 18 of its contents, correct?
- 19 A. If we take anything out of it, yes.
- Q. What is the purpose for inventorying a vehicle?
- 21 A. Like I said before, to secure any valuables because
- depending on where we place the vehicle, there's a risk the car
- could be broken into, and we want to safeguard the contents of a
- vehicle against any theft or burglary.
- Q. And does it also have the side effect of protecting the

- 1 police department from claims that items turned up missing or
- 2 things like that?
- 3 A. Sure.
- 4 Q. You mentioned that there's a policy on impounding and towing
- 5 motor vehicles. Is that policy in writing, if you know?
- 6 A. Yes. It's General Order 40.06.
- 7 Q. I want to show you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibit
- 8 Number 6 and ask you if you could take a look at that exhibit.
- 9 And do you recognize that exhibit?
- 10 A. I'm sorry.
- 11 Q. Do you recognize that exhibit?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. I have marked a page in that exhibit with a sticky Post-It
- 14 note. Can you turn to Bates Page 263, please?
- 15 THE COURT: Can you tell me what the exhibit is?
- 16 MR. BYRD: Yes, I'm sorry.
- 17 BY MR. BYRD:
- 18 Q. Can you tell us what the exhibit is?
- 19 A. It's the Rockford Police Department General Order Number
- 20 40.06. The title of it is impounding or towing motor vehicles.
- 21 Q. And that's the policy that you spoke of for impounding?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you turn to Page 263, Bates stamp 263 of that exhibit?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And looking at Section C, there's a list of one through

- eight items. Can you tell us what Section C on Page 263 is?
- 2 A. You want me to read it?
- 3 Q. No. Just what it generally is, what it states.
- 4 A. It describes situations in which vehicles may be impounded.
- **Q.** And those are the situations that the policy for City of
- 6 Rockford Police Department authorizes a vehicle to be impounded?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And looking at those exhibits, if you were to take away --
- 9 you mentioned that the authorizations were -- that the vehicle
- 10 was being seized as evidence because of the gun and because of
- 11 the drugs; is that correct?
- 12 A. Yes. I indicated that the vehicle was evidence in the
- 13 crimes we were charging, yes.
- Q. And specifically those two items?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Now, you're familiar with all of the charges that were
- 17 brought against the defendant in this case?
- 18 A. I don't remember every one of them, but, yes, I am familiar
- 19 with them
- 20 Q. And there was possession of crack cocaine, correct?
- 21 A. I'd have to refer to the probable cause to verify the
- specific charge, but there was a drug charge, yes.
- Q. All right. So, there was a drug charge. There was also a
- 24 gun charge.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. And are you familiar with the other charges that thedefendant had?
  - A. Not off the top of my head, no.
- Q. Okay. I'd ask you to accept that the other charges were failing to signal turning into a residential driveway and driving on a revoked or suspended license for DUI, a previous

Would either of those two charges, the failure to signal turning into a residential driveway or the driving on a suspended or revoked license based on a prior DUI, would those offer a justification under any of those provisions of the Rockford Police Department policy for impounding this particular vehicle?

- A. Are you asking me in this case or hypothetically?
- Q. Well, at the time you reviewed this, he had already had the gun found and the drugs found, correct?
  - A. Yes.

DUT.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Assuming that there had not been drugs or guns found, would there have been a justification for impounding the vehicle based upon the charges of failing to signal turning into a residential driveway and driving on a revoked or suspended license based on a prior DUI?
  - MR. KARNER: I object to the hypothetical.
- 24 MR. BYRD: Judge, one of the issues that I have to 25 address in sustaining my burden of proof is eliminating other

possible reasons that the vehicle could have been impounded to avoid inevitable discovery arguments by the government. I think that I would need to explore had there not been drugs or guns, could the vehicle have been impounded under a different basis for his stop --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. BYRD: -- in order to do that.

BY MR. BYRD:

9 Q. You can answer.

A. Well, seeing as how that wasn't the case, I guess I would have a hard time with that situation. There were numerous reasons we impounded that vehicle, and the reasons I cited were because there were guns and drugs in it or a gun and drugs in it, and in addition to there were other reasons. But under your scenario, I guess I would have to think about that for awhile. I don't know that the revoked alone would be a reason.

Q. I'm sorry?

A. I don't know if driving after revocation alone would be a reason. But, you know, very rarely do we have an incident where driving after revocation is the only incident occurring when we have a traffic stop. So, I mean, it's hard to answer a hypothetical in the situation.

Q. Well, if I could take that exhibit back from you. Is the vehicle typically impounded when an individual fails to signal when turning within the City of Rockford?

- 1 MR. KARNER: Objection as to what is typical.
- 2 MR. BYRD: All right. I'll rephrase. That could be
- 3 better worded.
- 4 BY MR. BYRD:
- Q. Under the procedure C, section one through eight of this
- 6 exhibit, the Rockford policy, if an individual is ticketed for
- 7 | failing to signal when turning left and the vehicle is otherwise
- 8 lawfully parked and not posing a risk to traffic, does it
- 9 typically -- I'm sorry -- under this policy does it get
- i mpounded?
- 11 A. Given your specific example with no other factors involved.
- 12 0. Yes.
- 13 A. A normal traffic violation. I mean, do they have insurance?
- 14 I mean, just because we write a signal violation doesn't mean
- 15 that there -- I mean, we may not write the insurance -- there's
- a lot of reasons why we would impound it, and it's difficult to
- 17 answer in a hypothetical that way.
- 18 Q. Assuming that it was just failing to signal.
- 19 A. That's it?
- 20 0. Yes.
- 21 A. No, we would not.
- 22 Q. And if an individual is stopped and it's determined that
- 23 they are operating the vehicle with a suspended or revoked
- 24 license and the vehicle is otherwise lawfully parked and not
- imposing a hazard to traffic, does the vehicle get impounded?

MR. KARNER: Judge, I object. Again, that doesn't match up with the facts of this case. In this case the vehicle wasn't lawfully parked.

THE COURT: Well, it doesn't match up with the facts of this case I think as the government expects those facts to unfold, but I believe Mr. Byrd is just excluding other possibilities if, in fact, we find out that the facts do not unfold the way the government anticipates. I think the question is appropriate. I'll allow it to stand.

- 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat it again then?
- 12 BY MR. BYRD:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Sure. If an individual is stopped for operating a motor
- 14 vehicle while his license is suspended or revoked and the
- vehicle is otherwise lawfully parked and not posing a hazard on
- 16 the roadway, does the vehicle get impounded under the Rockford
- 17 Police Department policy?
- 18 A. Given your specific example and no other factors involved,
- 19 no.
- Q. Now, while you were at the scene, can you describe where the
- 21 vehicle was parked when you arrived?
- 22 A. It was in a driveway.
- 23 Q. A residential driveway?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And did you examine the vehicle at all?

- 1 A. I looked at it.
- Q. And you were familiar with the facts from talking to
- 3 Detective Pruitt and Detective Nordberg, who were on scene?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. Was there anything about that vehicle that you were aware of
- 6 that would have made it illegal to drive that vehicle? And by
- 7 that, I mean expired registration, broken taillight, any type of
- 8 equipment violation that would have rendered it in a manner
- 9 where it could not be operated lawfully on the streets of
- 10 Rockford?
- 11 A. Not that I recall now, no.
- 12 Q. And the vehicle was not blocking the sidewalk or sticking
- into the roadway in any way that would have caused a safety
- 14 i ssue?
- 15 A. No.
- MR. BYRD: May I have just a moment, your Honor?
- 17 (Brief pause.)
- 18 BY MR. BYRD:
- 19 Q. Sergeant Stevens, were you present at the time that the
- 20 vehicle was searched?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And approximately how long after the defendant was stopped
- 23 did you have occasion to be on the scene?
- 24 A. Several minutes, probably.
- 25 Q. All right.

- 1 A. Less than several minutes.
- 2 Q. So, you were there within a matter of minutes?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And did you give authorization to search the vehicle?
- 5 A. I didn't have to.
- 6 Q. So, that would be no, you did not give a go-ahead?
- 7 A. Well, everyone saw that there was a gun on the floorboard.
- 8 So, I don't know if there was a formal discussion about whether
- 9 we had probable cause to search. I think everyone felt we did.
- 10 Q. And can you describe how that search unfolded?
- 11 A. My role in it?
- 12 Q. No, just what you observed in terms of the search.
- 13 A. We knew there was a handgun on the floorboard.
- 14 Q. Do you know who conducted the search?
- 15 A. I think there were several officers who assisted in it.
- 16 Q. Okay. So, you wouldn't know necessarily who found what?
- 17 A. No, not without going back over the reports.
- 18 Q. Was the vehicle locked?
- 19 A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. Did one of the officers have the keys to the vehicle?
- 21 A. Yes, at some point they did.
- Q. And it's your recollection that the vehicle was able to be
- entered without using the keys to gain entry?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Thank you, Detective Stevens.

#### Stevens - Cross

MR. BYRD: I'll pass the witness, your Honor.

### CROSS EXAMINATION

#### BY MR. KARNER:

- Q. So, describe for the court all the reasons that would have justified impounding this car.
- A. Well, like I said, the main reason why is because evidence, but there are several scenarios where we would have to impound the vehicle. One was that the -- and given the circumstances in this traffic stop, the defendant was in our opinion abandoning the vehicle because of the situation, and that vehicle was parked in a driveway which we determined was not his driveway.

The homeowner, to my recollection, we were not able to locate, and that vehicle would then be blocking a private residence or driveway or garage door. We wouldn't allow that vehicle to block that. We would take it to prevent any further problems between the person leaving the vehicle there and the owner obviously being blocked in their own house. So, that would be one reason.

The second reason, in addition to our first reason being the evidence, is that once we recover narcotics, there's a process in which a vehicle may be considered for seizure.

Normally, I am not involved in that process. It's normally a process where the narcotics supervisor is involved in it, and there's a formal review process, usually with the secretary in narcotics and the narcotics supervisor, over whether the vehicle

#### Stevens - Cross

- 1 would be -- one, whether the vehicle was able to be seized 2 according to the evidence and the laws which they deal with 3 every day, and, second, if there's a lien on the vehicle, 4 there's an amount on which we would accept to pay off the lien and take the vehicle for seizure, and then there's usually an 5 amount that the department will not be willing to pay. 7 there's an analysis of that vehicle to see if it's even able to be seized, but it starts with seizing the vehicle and impounding 8 9 it so that the process can begin.
- Q. Okay. And you were aware that the defendant said he did not live at the address at which this car was parked?
- 12 A. Correct.
- Q. And the registration came back to an address other than the address that the driveway was attached to that this car was parked?
- 16 A. Correct.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. And no one was at home to tell you, yeah, the car could be parked there?
  - A. Correct. In addition, there's another reason is that the information we had, which indicated Dayton Poke was with another individual named Clifford Horton, who in January of '11 we had a murder. That information indicated that the murder suspect was in that vehicle, possibly with Dayton.
  - Once we recovered the .40 caliber handgun in the car -- there was information that the murder in January of 2011 had

# **Stevens - Cross**

1 . 40 caliber casings involved in it. We didn't know if possibly 2 Dayton was involved in it, the vehicle was involved in it. 3 we would also have taken it as evidence potentially on that case 4 until we could determine it wasn't evidence. So, I think 5 there's generally four reasons why we would do that. 6 Now, you said that you were aware that there was a gun in 7 Could you see that gun from a position outside the the car. 8 car? 9 A. Yes. 10 Was the gun in plain view? 11 A. Yes. 12 MR. KARNER: Nothing further. 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. BYRD: 15 Detective Stevens, in light of some of Mr. Karner's 16 questions, I want to show you some additional exhibits. 17 to show you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibits 1, 2, 3 --Excuse me, counsel. May I see the ones 18 MR. KARNER: 19 you're going to show the witness? 20 Yes, one through four. MR. BYRD: 21 MR. KARNER: May I see those? Oh, sure. 22 MR. BYRD: These are the copies, if you'd like. 23 24 All right. MR. KARNER: Thanks.

25

- 1 BY MR. BYRD:
- Q. You said that the driveway was blocked, and that was an
- 3 issue that would have led or justified the impounding of the
- 4 vehicle. I want to show you first what's marked as Defendant's
- 5 Exhibits 1 and 2.
- 6 MR. BYRD: And, your Honor, if you'd like, I have a copy of those for you to look at, as well.
- 8 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 9 MR. BYRD: And I'll just give you 3 and 4, as well,
- 10 because those are next.
- 11 BY MR. BYRD:
- 12 Q. Do you recognize those exhibits?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And those exhibits appear to be the vehicle that we're
- 15 talking about here, a silver Chevy Impala?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. And looking at those exhibits, isn't it true that that
- vehicle is parked in a three-car-wide driveway?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. And you can see the three stalls in Defendant's Exhibit --
- 21 and by stalls, I mean garages -- in Defendant's Exhibit
- 22 Number 1?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So, how is it that it would have been blocking the driveway
- such that vehicles for that residence couldn't get in or out?

- 1 A. It would prevent the owner from the use of the driveway and
- 2 cause a situation where the owner would have to navigate around
- 3 the vehicle, which in our minds would be blocking.
- 4 Q. Did anybody ever check with the owners to see if the owners
- 5 had any problem with Mr. Poke's vehicle being parked in the
- 6 dri veway?
- 7 A. I don't recall if they did that night. I know we did at a
- 8 later time.
- 9 Q. So, rather than saying that it would have blocked the owners
- 10 from ingress and egress into their garage, it would have created
- 11 a situation where they would have just have had to go around, an
- 12 inconvenience; isn't that fair?
- 13 A. In my 18 years of police work, I have never had a homeowner
- 14 not mind someone's car in their driveway that doesn't belong
- 15 there. And so, to avoid any potential scenario after we leave
- 16 the area, we would on a routine basis take that vehicle out of
- 17 that area as to not cause a problem
- 18 Q. So, if I'm correct then, nobody ever spoke to the owner?
- 19 A. That night I'm not aware of, no.
- Q. So, you would not know whether or not the owner is a
- 21 relative of Mr. Poke's or the vehicle's owner?
- 22 A. We knew he didn't live there.
- Q. Okay. But do you know whether or not or have any
- 24 information that the owners would have disapproved of that
- vehicle being there?

- 1 A. That night, no.
- 2 Q. Any night after that?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Okay. But at the time the vehicle was impounded, you did
- 5 not know how the owners would have felt about it?
- 6 A. No, but like I said, that's not the reason we impounded it,
- 7 but it would have been one we considered.
- 8 Q. Okay. You said that you learned later that the owners would
- 9 have had a problem with it. Tell me about that.
- 10 A. I didn't talk to the owner. It was Detective Pruitt. But I
- 11 know that he had talked to the resident of the building.
- 12 Q. Okay. Was it one resident for the entire three garages?
- 13 A. I don't remember, no.
- 14 Q. You don't remember? Okay.
- I next want to show you -- did I give you 3 and 4?
- 16 A. I just have 1 and 2.
- 17 Q. All right. Let me show you Defendant's Exhibits 3 and 4 and
- ask you to take a look at those exhibits. Do you recognize
- **19 them?**
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And just so the record's clear before we move into those
- exhibits, do Defendant's Exhibits 1 and 2 fairly and accurately
- reflect the premises as you observed it on the date in question?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And the location of the vehicle is fairly and accurately

- 1 depicted in these exhibits?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay. Now, Exhibits 3 and 4, what do those show?
- 4 A. Three is a photo of the driver's interior compartment, and
- 5 very clearly you can see just below the driver's seat next to a
- 6 green towel the barrel of a handgun, black in color, and
- 7 Exhibit 4 is a closer photo of the black handgun with the towel.
- **Q.** And you said that you yourself observed the handgun as it's
- 9 depicted in those exhibits?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Where were you standing when you saw that handgun sticking
- 12 out from the front seat?
- 13 A. I recall viewing it both with the driver's door shut looking
- in the window and also when it was open.
- Q. And with respect to -- let's go back to Exhibit 2, which is
- 16 the side view of the driver's side of that vehicle. Can you
- 17 tell me approximately where you would have been standing when
- you saw the gun through the window when the door was closed?
- 19 A. By the A pillar. Right here.
- Q. The A pillar is the approximate area where the rear view
- 21 mirror on the outside of the car is?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. So, you would have been looking, you would agree, from a
- 24 front angle down into the vehicle or front side angle?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And how else did you look at the gun?
- 2 A. When the driver's door was open.
- 3 Q. Okay. And where would you have been standing when the
- 4 driver's door was open?
- 5 A. Inside the driver's area when you enter the vehicle.
- 6 Q. Near the A pillar again?
- 7 A. No, when the door's open, more towards the side.
- 8 Q. Okay. And would you have leaned over to look to see the
- 9 **gun?**
- 10 A. I don't believe I needed to on this situation, no.
- 11 Q. Do Exhibits 3 and 4 fairly and accurately depict the
- 12 condition and location of the handgun at the time you observed
- 13 them?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Based upon your experience, do you have any reason to
- 16 believe that any of the items depicted in Exhibit 3 or 4 were
- 17 manipulated prior to these photographs being taken?
- 18 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 19 Q. And similarly you wouldn't have any reason to believe they
- were manipulated before you looked at the scene?
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 Q. And why is that?
- A. Well, it's standard policy that once we find evidence, we
- leave it in place to photograph it before we recover it.
- Q. Okay. And that's the policy of the City of Rockford?

- 1 A. I think it's a best practice in evidence collection. I
- 2 don't know if it's necessarily a formal policy.
- 3 Q. Are you familiar with Rockford Department General Order
- 4 60.02 entitled crime scene processing?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. I want to show you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibit 8.
- 7 THE COURT: This is 8.
- 8 MR. BYRD: Number 8, yes.
- 9 MR. KARNER: What exhibit number?
- MR. BYRD: Eight.
- 11 BY MR. BYRD:
- 12 Q. I want to show you what's been marked as Defendant's Exhibit
- Number 8 and ask you to take a look at that exhibit. Do you
- 14 recognize that exhibit?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And can you tell us what it is?
- 17 A. It's the Rockford Police Department General Order Number
- 18 60.02 titled crime scene processing.
- 19 Q. And attached to that exhibit is Appendix A, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And that's the page that I've marked with a sticky note,
- 22 Bates Number 387?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Letter A, Appendix A, is entitled protecting the crime
- 25 scene, correct?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Item C. Can you read what item C says?
- 3 A. You want me to read it?
- 4 Q. Yes, please.
- 5 A. Do not disturb. Nothing at the scene should be moved,
- 6 touched, walked on, or otherwise disturbed unless there is a
- 7 valid officer safety issue involved. Describing a crime scene
- 8 should be limited to a search for suspects and an examination of
- 9 injured or deceased persons. Parenthesis, deceased persons
- 10 allow only one fire paramedic to examine an obviously deceased
- 11 victim
- 12 Q. That's fine, Sergeant.
- 13 A. Okay.
- 14 Q. The main point of that is you don't disturb the crime scene,
- 15 correct?
- 16 A. No, not generally.
- Q. You don't manipulate the evidence prior to photographing it
- 18 unless there's an issue of officer safety --
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. -- that requires it?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. And does Exhibit 8 fairly and accurately reflect this
- general order in Appendix A that we talked about?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And is this order and the philosophy of good police work

- 1 what led you to state a few moments ago that you did not believe
- 2 that the scene was manipulated at all?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Do you recall there being a black Sanyo cell phone, a
- 5 Cricket cell phone, that was found at the scene?
- 6 MR. KARNER: I object. This is beyond the scope. I
- 7 believe it goes to the issue of whether or not the crime scene
- 8 was manipulated.
- 9 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 10 BY THE WITNESS:
- 11 A. I know there was a phone recovered. I don't know when or
- 12 where it was at, no.
- 13 BY MR. BYRD:
- 14 Q. Okay. Is there anything that would refresh your
- recollection as to where the cell phone was recovered?
- 16 A. I don't know. There may be a report indicating where they
- 17 recovered it from
- 18 Q. Is it possible it could be in the probable cause statement?
- 19 A. It may.
- 20 Q. I want to show you again Defendant's Exhibit 5 and direct
- 21 your attention to the third line from the bottom of the first
- 22 paragraph.
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And can you tell us about the black Cricket or the Cricket
- 25 Sanyo cell phone, where it was located?

- 1 A. The probable cause statement indicates it was on the front
- 2 driver floorboard.
- Q. I want to show you Exhibits 3 and 4 again and ask you if you
- 4 can point to the Cricket Sanyo cell phone in those photographs.
- 5 A. In these photos it's not here.
- 6 MR. KARNER: I'm sorry. Which exhibits were those?
- 7 MR. BYRD: Three and four.
- 8 BY MR. BYRD:
- 9 Q. You don't see them in these photographs, do you?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. And did you see them when you looked into the vehicle,
- 12 either with the door closed or with the door open?
- 13 A. I don't recall a phone, no.
- 14 Q. Any reason you could think of why they would not be depicted
- in Defendant's Exhibits 3 or 4?
- 16 A. Yeah. I don't know that the photos are an accurate, total
- 17 view of the driver's area, number one, and number two is it's
- possible there's a typo in the probable cause statement. I just
- 19 don't know.
- Q. Okay. And that would have been drafted by Detective Pruitt?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. But you agree that 3 and 4 does not show anywhere in those
- photographs any Cricket Sanyo cell phone in the driver's side
- 24 floorboard?
- 25 A. These two photos do not show it, no. There is a cord, it

- 1 looks like, for a cell phone, though.
- 2 Q. I'm sorry?
- 3 A. There is a cord here that looks like for a cell phone.
- 4 Q. Okay.
- 5 A. But not the phone.
- 6 Q. And would you agree that one of the reasons we don't see a
- 7 Cricket Sanyo cell phone in Defendant's Exhibit 3 is that it was
- 8 removed prior to the photograph being taken?
- 9 A. I don't know that for sure.
- 10 Q. If, in fact, that happened, that would be a horrendous
- 11 breach of the protocol reflected in the order we just looked at,
- 12 correct?
- 13 A. I wouldn't characterize it as a horrendous breach, but it
- 14 | could be. I don't know.
- 15 Q. Can you think of any reason implicating officer safety if
- 16 the Cricket Sanyo cell phone was left in the driver's seat or
- 17 driver's front floorboard in this case?
- 18 A. I don't know.
- 19 **Q.** It could?
- 20 A. I don't know. I wasn't there. I don't know.
- Q. Okay. I'm just asking you in general would the presence of
- a cell phone near a firearm in a floorboard pose a risk in and
- of itself to officer safety such that that cell phone should be
- 24 removed?
- 25 MR. KARNER: Objection as to what's in general, Judge.

That's not relevant here.

THE COURT: Why isn't it relevant? He's talking about what the procedures are for searching a car, and one of the considerations in that procedure is officer safety, and he's focusing in on that particular component.

MR. KARNER: I think what's relevant here is what the officers saw and did here on this date, period.

THE COURT: No. We're talking about violation of 60.02.

MR. KARNER: But that's -- hypotheticals about what could and could not violate this are not relevant. What's relevant is what the officers saw and did here on this date.

THE COURT: Well, I think the basic assumption is that a cell phone was moved, and we're determining whether it violates 60.02. I'll allow the question to stand.

- BY MR. BYRD:
- 17 Q. You can go ahead and answer.
- 18 A. I don't remember the question.
  - Q. Sure. Is there anything inherently risky to officer safety for a cell phone to be left at a crime scene near a gun until when the scene is secured? And you would agree the scene is secured here, correct, at this point in time?
  - A. I don't know -- I mean, I'm assuming he's in custody at this point in the car, which I believe he was. But off of a photo I can't tell you what was going on behind the person taking the

- 1 | photo. Would a cell phone normally be moved? I wouldn't think
- 2 so.
- 3 Q. And you would agree it doesn't pose any risk to officer
- 4 safety by virtue of being in the same floorboard with a handgun?
- 5 A. No. Not in your scenario, no.
- 6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
- 7 MR. BYRD: If I could have just one minute.
- 8 (Brief pause.)
- 9 BY MR. BYRD:
- 10 Q. Was there any other reason that you gave that would have
- 11 justified the impound of the vehicle that we haven't talked
- 12 about during my redirect?
- 13 A. I think I covered all four of them I think.
- 14 Q. Okay. You mentioned an individual by the name of Clifford
- 15 Horton?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Okay. That was one I think that I may have missed. You
- said that Clifford Horton was alleged to have been driving
- 19 around with Dayton Poke at some point?
- 20 A. The information that detectives that worked for me obtained
- 21 was that Clifford Horton was possibly with Dayton Poke driving
- 22 around in a silver Chevy Impala.
- Q. Now, at the time that this vehicle was stopped, is it your
- 24 understanding or do you know whether the defendant was the only
- occupant of the vehicle?

- 1 A. He was the only occupant.
- 2 Q. So, Clifford Horton wasn't at the scene?
- 3 A. Once we had the vehicle stopped, we determined he wasn't,
- 4 no.
- 5 Q. And so, Clifford Horton was not arrested that day as part of
- 6 this investigation into Dayton Poke?
- 7 A. Correct.
- 8 Q. Now, do you know whether or not Clifford Horton was alleged
- 9 to have been riding around with Dayton Poke on that day or some
- 10 day prior to that?
- 11 A. I don't know.
- 12 Q. Are you familiar or how familiar are you with the Clifford
- 13 Horton investigation?
- 14 A. I did not work on it. I know based off of the officer
- safety flyers that went out general information.
- 16 Q. And that was a murder investigation?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Was it a murder or based on a drive-by shooting or any other
- 19 action involving a vehicle?
- 20 A. Yes, there were vehicles involved.
- Q. How were the vehicles in the Clifford Horton case involved?
- 22 A. I don't know specifics. I know it was in the street on
- 23 Sherman, I believe, and that there were numerous guns and
- 24 numerous shots fired.
- 25 Q. Was it a party going on?

- 1 A. I don't know.
- 2 Q. All right. You're not that familiar with it?
- 3 A. Right.
- 4 Q. Do you know who the detectives were that worked the Clifford
- 5 Horton investigation?
- 6 A. Not off the top of my head, no.
- 7 Q. But one of the justifications was that the vehicle you said
- 8 might be evidence in that shooting?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Do you know whether or not the vehicle that we're talking
- 11 about here, the silver Chevy Impala, was, in fact, evidence in
- 12 the Clifford Horton case?
- 13 A. I don't know that.
- 14 Q. Do you know if the vehicle was ever processed for gunshot
- 15 residue or anything like that?
- 16 A. I don't know that. We still have it.
- 17 Q. The Chevy Impala?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Now, the handgun, was that taken into custody as part of
- 20 Clifford Horton's investigation? I know it would have been
- 21 taken --
- 22 MR. KARNER: Objection. We're really getting far
- 23 afield here, Judge.
- MR. BYRD: I'm not so sure that we are, Judge. I mean,
- one, I didn't get to finish the question. So, how can you rule?

- MR. KARNER: Well, whether or not the gun was taken in any connection with the Clifford Horton murder case doesn't bear on any of the issues raised in his pretrial motion.
- 4 MR. BYRD: I'll withdraw the question.
- 5 BY MR. BYRD:

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. You do not have any reason to believe that the handgun that was found in the silver Impala that day was the handgun used in the murder case involving Clifford Horton, do you?
  - MR. KARNER: Objection to foundation. If they had reason to believe at the time or now.
- 11 THE COURT: Okay.
- 12 BY MR. BYRD:
- Q. Do you have reason to believe at the time that that was the
- 14 handgun used in the Clifford Horton shooting?
- 15 A. I didn't know.
- 16 Q. Okay. It was a possibility?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And that would have provided a justification for taking the
- 19 handgun into custody to check ballistics, correct?
- 20 A. I would have taken the vehicle in, also.
- 21 Q. Okay. But you don't know whether or not that vehicle was
- 22 i nvol ved.
- 23 A. No. And that would be the reason why I would take it
- 24 because it could be and I wouldn't know.
- Q. Are you familiar with whether or not Dayton Poke at the time

### Stevens - Recross

1 of his arrest was a suspect in the Clifford Horton case or the 2 murder that led to Clifford Horton having an active warrant? 3 I know that the detectives that had worked it had identified 4 several people involved in the incident. I know there were numerous handguns fired during the incident, and I know that 5 6 they didn't know everyone that was involved. So, was his name 7 specifically mentioned to my knowledge at that time? No. But he very well could have been. 8 9 Do you know whether or not that is the reason that two 10 Rockford detectives executed a traffic stop for failing to 11 signal on the day in question? 12 MR. KARNER: Objection. He's now asking this witness 13 to speculate on the motive of two other officers when that's not 14 even relevant. 15 THE COURT: I agree. I'll sustain the objection. 16 MR. BYRD: Just one moment, Judge. 17 (Brief pause.) BY MR. BYRD: 18 19 Thank you, Sergeant Stevens. 20 I have no further questions, Judge. MR. BYRD: 21 Can I see Defendant's Exhibit 3? MR. KARNER: 22 RECROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. KARNER: 23 24 Showing you Defendant's Exhibit 3, do you know what this 25 object is right below the gearshift lever?

#### Stevens - Further Redirect It looks like a radio, but I'm not sure. 1 A. 2 Q. You don't know what that object is? No. 3 A. 4 Q. Okay. 5 MR. KARNER: I have nothing further. 6 THE COURT: You may step down. 7 MR. BYRD: Can I just ask one more question? FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. BYRD: 9 10 Detective, looking at Defendant's Exhibit 3, is there 11 anything that would lead you to believe that the object 12 Mr. Karner just asked you about is the Sanyo Cricket cell phone? 13 A. I don't know what it is. 14 It certainly -- as depicted in this photograph, it's not 15 laying in the floorboard, correct? It doesn't appear to be, but I don't know what it is. 16 A. 17 Q. It appears to be mounted to the side of the vehicle right 18 under the steering wheel? 19 Yes. A. 20 Q. Thank you. That's all I have. 21 MR. BYRD: Thank you, Judge. 22 THE COURT: You may step down. 23 (Witness excused.) 24 THE COURT: Next witness, please. 25 MR. BYRD: Judge, at this time I would call Detective

	Nordberg - Direct		
1	Kevin Nordberg.		
2	(Brief pause.)		
3	(Witness duly sworn.)		
4	THE COURT: Please take a seat at the witness stand.		
5	KEVIN NORDBERG, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN		
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
7	BY MR. BYRD:		
8	Q. Good afternoon, sir. Can you state your name, please?		
9	A. Kevin Nordberg.		
10	Q. And how are you employed, sir?		
11	A. City of Rockford Police Department.		
12	Q. And in what capacity?		
13	A. Detective.		
14	Q. And were you so employed on July 6th of 2011?		
15	A. Yes, I was.		
16	Q. On that day did you have occasion to be dispatched to the		
17	area of 7th and 12th Street in the City of Rockford?		
18	A. I was not dispatched there, no.		
19	Q. Okay. Was there a communication that came in regarding an		
20	arned robbery or a robbery at the Quik Mart store at 7th and		
21	12th?		
22	A. Yes, there was.		
23	Q. And did you have occasion to go to that scene?		
24	A. That's correct.		
25	Q. And who were you with?		

- 1 A. Detective Pruitt.
- Q. In addition to Detective Pruitt in this particular dis --
- 3 | well, you said you weren't dispatched, but your occasion to go
- 4 to the Quik Mart store, did you guys go to that store?
- 5 A. No, we did not.
- 6 Q. And why did you not go there?
- 7 A. There was information that the suspects had left, and we
- 8 were in the area looking for them
- 9 Q. Okay. Were you and Detective Pruitt looking for them?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And at that time did you have an occasion to believe that
- 12 the individual that was involved in the Quik Mart robbery was a
- man by the name of Dayton Poke?
- 14 A. No, I did not.
- 15 Q. In fact, those individuals were alleged -- those individuals
- that allegedly committed the robbery at Quik Mart left on foot,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. Did you have descriptions of those individuals?
- 20 A. There were some put out. I don't remember the details of
- 21 it, but yes.
- Q. But nothing in your mind at the time indicated that it could
- 23 have been or was Dayton Poke based on those descriptions?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. At the time were you also in your capacity as a detective

- 1 working a case involving a suspect by the name of Clifford
- 2 Horton?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And can you tell us what your involvement was with
- 5 Mr. Horton?
- 6 A. I was one of the investigating officers on the murder that
- 7 he was a possible suspect in.
- 8 Q. And can you tell me about that murder investigation? Where
- 9 it occurred, what was involved in the murder?
- 10 A. It was on Sherman Street. There was a -- Charles Spivey was
- shot and killed at the time, along with several others were
- shot, and the suspects -- during the course of the
- investigation, we developed several suspect names, including
- 14 | Clifford Horton.
- 15 Q. And did the shooting occur inside a building, outside a
- 16 building?
- 17 A. It was outside.
- 18 Q. Was it a drive-by shooting?
- 19 A. No, it was not.
- 20 Q. Were there any vehicles involved in the shooting?
- 21 A. The victim was driving a vehicle at the time he was shot.
- Q. Okay. So, the vehicles that were involved was the vehicle
- 23 that the victim was in at the time he was shot?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. Do you know whether or not he was firing from the vehicle at

- 1 suspects who fired back at him?
- 2 A. He was not.
- **Q.** You said several suspects were developed during that
- 4 investigation. Was one of those suspects Dayton Poke?
- 5 A. He was not.
- Q. So, at the time that you were dispatched -- or I'm sorry. I
- 7 keep saying dispatched.
- 8 At the time that you and Detective Pruitt went to the
- 9 Quik Mart to investigate this armed robbery, Dayton Poke was not
- a suspect in the Clifford Horton case involving the shooting of
- 11 Mr. Spivey?
- 12 A. That is correct.
- 13 Q. While you were driving around, did you have conversation
- with Detective Pruitt regarding your surveilling Mr. Poke -- I'm
- sorry -- surveilling a silver Chevy Impala the night before?
- 16 A. Not until we saw the vehicle.
- 17 Q. I'm sorry?
- 18 A. Not until we saw that vehicle.
- 19 Q. Okay. But did you have occasion to be surveilling a silver
- 20 Chevy Impala the night before?
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And that was along with Detectives Schroeder and Posley?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. They were also working the Clifford Horton investigation?
- 25 A. That is correct.

- Q. Can you tell me why the three of you would have been
- 2 surveilling the silver Chevy Impala that you saw Mr. Poke
- 3 driving that day?
- 4 A. Information had been coming in, an anonymous tip, that
- 5 Mr. Poke was with Mr. Horton and they were using that vehicle.
- 6 Q. Now -- and this was the night before?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And while the three of you surveilled, were you able to
- 9 determine -- and this is the night before Dayton Poke's
- 10 arrest -- were you able to determine if Dayton Poke was driving
- 11 that silver Chevy Impala?
- 12 A. The vehicle never moved.
- 13 **Q.** I'm sorry?
- 14 A. The vehicle did not move that night.
- Q. Oh. Did it have any occupants in it while you were
- 16 surveilling it?
- 17 A. No, it did not.
- 18 Q. So, you were just watching the silver Chevy Impala that was,
- 19 parked, I assume, somewhere?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. How long did you surveil the vehicle?
- 22 A. Approximately three hours.
- Q. And what exactly was it you were hoping to uncover?
- 24 A. Somebody to return to the vehicle.
- 25 Q. Specifically Clifford Horton?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. So, back to July 6th, 2011. Once you received notification
- 3 that the individuals had left the Quik Mart, what, if anything,
- 4 | did you and Detective Pruitt do?
- 5 A. We were in the area just looking for suspects.
- 6 Q. Suspects in the Quik Mart robbery?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And what, if anything, did you observe involving a silver
- 9 Chevy Impala at that time?
- 10 A. Actually observed it approaching us as we were heading down
- 11 the one street. It was coming directly at us, and we both came
- 12 to a stop sign, where it was facing the intersection in front of
- 13 us.
- Q. And are you familiar with the individual known as Dayton
- **15 Poke?**
- 16 A. Just by name.
- 17 Q. You wouldn't recognize him by sight?
- 18 A. No, I would not.
- 19 Q. And how about Clifford Horton?
- 20 A. No. Just again by name.
- 21 Q. And what, if anything, happened after you saw the silver
- 22 Chevy Impala?
- 23 A. I recognized the registration on the car as being the one
- 24 that we had been watching the night before.
- 25 Q. While you were at the intersection, did Detective Pruitt

- 1 make any comments as to whether or not he knew the individual
- 2 that was driving the silver Chevy Impala?
- 3 A. No, he did not.
- 4 Q. And do you know whether or not Detective Pruitt worked the
- 5 Clifford Horton case at all?
- 6 A. I do not know that.
- 7 Q. What happened after that?
- 8 A. I informed Detective Pruitt that that was the vehicle that
- 9 possibly Clifford Horton might be in, informed him that we had
- 10 watched it the night before, and that was the registration on
- 11 the silver Impala we'd been watching.
- 12 Q. Did your intelligence regarding Clifford Horton driving
- around with Dayton Poke in that silver Chevy Impala at some time
- prior to July 6th of 2011 indicate whether or not -- I'm sorry.
- 15 I'll strike that question.
- 16 After you saw the vehicle, what happened?
- 17 A. The vehicle made what would have been a left-hand turn in
- 18 front of us, and we turned right in behind it.
- 19 Q. Okay. You say you turned in -- was it into a parking lot?
- 20 A. No. It was traveling on -- I believe it would have been 8th
- 21 Avenue or 9th Avenue. I'm not sure. My report would have to
- 22 show the exact street.
- Q. Okay. So, you proceeded to follow the vehicle?
- A. That's correct, as it headed east.
- 25 Q. And at that time do you know were you driving, or was

Nordberg - Direct 1 Detective Pruitt driving? 2 Detective Pruitt was driving. 3 And was there any conversation between the two of you Q. regarding your intent with respect to this vehicle? 4 5 MR. KARNER: Objection. Their intent is irrelevant. 6 THE COURT: How is it relevant? 7 MR. BYRD: I believe it goes to the issue of why he was pulled over. It may, it may not. I don't know what the answer 8 would be. 9 10 THE COURT: I don't think their intent makes any 11 difference. 12 MR. BYRD: Okay. 13 THE COURT: You can certainly ask the officer what they 14 did, what they saw, but what was in their mind I don't think --15 MR. BYRD: I guess what I'm getting at, Judge, is if 16 the detective -- and I don't know -- were to say that there was conversation to the effect of we need to pull this vehicle over 17 18 and see if it's Clifford Horton driving, that would go against 19 the idea that it was pulled over for turning into a residential 20 driveway without signaling. 21 No, it wouldn't. MR. KARNER: 22 THE COURT: I agree. 23 MR. BYRD: All right. 24 I'll sustain the objection. THE COURT:

25

- 1 BY MR. BYRD:
- 2 Q. Detective, so, you proceeded to follow the vehicle. What
- 3 happened after that?
- 4 A. When we turned in behind the vehicle, it made a quick turn
- into a driveway just to the south off the avenue.
- 6 Q. When you say made a quick turn, can you tell me how much
- 7 time elapsed between your proceeding to follow the vehicle and
- 8 it making the turn?
- 9 A. Within less than half a block.
- 10 Q. So, it wasn't an instantaneous --
- 11 THE COURT: I've got to stop. The question that I

  12 sustained an objection to, can you give me that question again?
- 13 MR. BYRD: Sure. It was whether or not you and
- 14 Detective Pruitt had any discussion about whether or not the
- vehicle should be pulled over to determine whether or not
- 16 Clifford Horton was driving.
- 17 THE COURT: Okay. I'll sustain the objection.
- 18 BY MR. BYRD:
- 19 Q. So, I think my last question was it wasn't an instantaneous
- 20 as soon as you're behind him he whips into the driveway without
- 21 signaling, but rather it happened within a half a block?
- 22 A. Within a few seconds, yes.
- Q. And you said that you met at an intersection facing each
- 24 other?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And he proceeded through the intersection, or did he turn?
- 2 A. He turned.
- Q. Okay. So, he turned, and then you turned after him got
- 4 right behind him?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. And about a half a block or so or within a half a block, he
- 7 made the left turn into the driveway?
- 8 A. It would have been a right turn, but correct.
- 9 Q. A right turn into the driveway. And what, if anything, did
- 10 you do at that point?
- 11 A. The vehicle didn't signal, and we activated -- well,
- 12 Detective Pruitt activated the emergency lights, and we
- initiated a traffic stop on the vehicle.
- 14 Q. Now, at the point in which that happened, did you receive
- any communication indicating that the individuals that were on
- 16 foot after the armed robbery at the Quik Mart store had been
- 17 apprehended?
- 18 A. No, we did not.
- 19 Q. So, at the time you stopped this vehicle for a minor traffic
- 20 offense, two armed robbery suspects were still in the area, as
- 21 far as you knew?
- 22 A. As far as we knew, yes.
- Q. Was there any concern about abandoning your search for two
- 24 armed robbery suspects in order to execute a petty traffic stop
- 25 for failing to signal?

- MR. KARNER: I object to this. Any concern the
  officers has goes to their subjective intentions, which is
  irrelevant.
- THE COURT: I think it's irrelevant. I'll sustain the objection.
- 6 BY MR. BYRD:

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

- Q. So, you executed the traffic stop for failing to signal turning in a residential driveway. Can I ask you in the last year how many traffic stops of that nature have you as a detective had occasion to handle?
  - MR. KARNER: I object. That's irrelevant.
- MR. BYRD: It goes to his experience in executing traffic stops.
  - MR. KARNER: Experience in traffic stops is not relevant here.
- MR. BYRD: It may come in when we get to the issue of the evidence on the floorboard.
- 18 THE COURT: All right. Overruled.
- 19 BY THE WITNESS:
- 20 A. In the last year?
- 21 BY MR. BYRD:
- 22 0. Yes.
- A. I would have to say -- I mean, well, you're asking turning
- 24 into a driveway for failure to signal?
- 25 Q. For failing to signal.

- 1 A. Five maybe.
- Q. Five in the last year. Okay. And after the -- all right.
- 3 The vehicle turned into the driveway and you activated your
- 4 lights, or how did that happen?
- 5 A. It was Detective Pruitt driving. So, I didn't.
- 6 Q. And how did your vehicle position itself to execute the
- 7 traffic stop?
- 8 A. A slight angle behind the vehicle where it was parked in the
- 9 dri veway.
- 10 Q. So, you would have been somewhat perpendicular to the
- 11 vehicle in order to block it from trying to escape?
- 12 A. Well, it was pulled in behind it. The intent wasn't to
- 13 block it. It was just to pull in behind it. Normal traffic
- 14 stop.
- Q. So, your vehicle was directly behind the vehicle in the
- 16 residential driveway facing the same direction?
- 17 A. The driveway was short. We're not in the driveway. On the
- 18 street, but angled.
- 19 Q. I'm sorry?
- 20 A. The driveway was short -- we're not in the driveway. We're
- 21 angled slightly behind it, partially on the street, partially on
- 22 the like curb.
- Q. All right. And that would have put you closest to the back
- end of that Chevy Inpala, would it not?
- 25 A. Yes, I suppose so. Yes.

- 1 Q. And I want to show you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibits
- 2 | 1 and 2 and ask you to take a look at those exhibits, and I'll
- 3 ask you if you recognize them
- 4 A. I do recognize them
- **Q.** And what are they?
- 6 A. The photographs of the silver Chevy Impala that was stopped.
- 7 Q. And do those photographs fairly and accurately depict the
- 8 location that the vehicle came to a stop in that residential
- 9 driveway when the traffic stop was executed?
- 10 A. Yes, it does.
- 11 Q. And you cannot see your vehicle that you were driving or
- 12 that Detective Pruitt was driving and you were a passenger in
- depicted in either of those two exhibits, can you?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you're saying it was an angle behind the vehicle?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. And after the vehicle stopped, what did you do?
- 18 A. I exited the squad, and I went to more the trunk on the
- 19 passenger side of the Impala.
- Q. And at the time that you stopped the vehicle, you can see
- 21 from Defendant's Exhibit 1 that there's some -- as well as two,
- 22 that there's some tinting on the windows?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. And looking at Exhibit 1, you can still make out,
- 25 notwithstanding the tint, the top part of the steering wheel in

- 1 that vehicle?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- Q. So, it would still be possible to see silhouettes and
- 4 determine how many people might be in the front seat?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. And at the time the vehicle was stopped -- before it was
- 7 stopped, I should say, did you yourself determine how many
- 8 occupants were in the vehicle?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And how many were there?
- 11 A. Just the driver.
- 12 Q. All right. And so, you approached up the passenger side?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Just out of curiosity, why would you go to the passenger
- side, as opposed to the driver's side?
- MR. KARNER: Objection as to his reasons. Again, any
- 17 thought processes are irrelevant.
- 18 THE COURT: I don't believe I can make that blanket
- 19 statement that any thought processes are irrelevant. I'll
- 20 overrule the objection.
- 21 BY THE WITNESS:
- 22 A. I approached as a cover officer.
- 23 | **BY MR. BYRD**:
- Q. All right. And a cover officer is to prevent escape or
- 25 provide protection to the other officer?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. That I assume is approaching the driver's side?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. And that would have been Detective Pruitt?
- 5 A. That is correct.
- 6 Q. Can you tell me what happened as you approached the
- 7 passenger side?
- 8 A. The driver attempted to get out. Detective Pruitt told him
- 9 to get back in the car, and the driver continued to get out. H
- 10 put up the window on the driver's side. I was at the trunk
- 11 side, and he ended up -- the driver ended up standing completely
- 12 out of the vehicle, and that's when Detective Pruitt said 1032,
- which means gun, police code.
- And so, then I went around to the car, and the driver
- 15 had closed the door, Mr. Poke had closed the door and was
- 16 attempting to lock it with his key. Detective Pruitt got ahold
- of him, and that's when I attempted to grab his other hand and
- pull away, but he was clenched up real tight --
- 19 Q. Now, as you are the cover officer approaching the passenger
- 20 side of the vehicle, are you watching Detective Pruitt, as well
- 21 as keeping an eye on the individual in the vehicle?
- 22 A. More so watching the vehicle, what's going on inside the
- 23 vehi cl e.
- 24 Q. Meaning the individual that you testified to is trying to
- 25 get out of the vehicle?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, when that individual was trying to get out of the
- 3 vehicle, he would have been -- did he actually get out of the
- 4 vehicle, if you know?
- 5 A. He did eventually, yes.
- 6 Q. And if I understood correctly, you said that you heard
- 7 Detective Pruitt yell 1032?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And you know that to be a gun?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And do you know what the defendant or the driver of that
- vehicle, what his position was at the time that Detective Pruitt
- 13 **yelled 1032?**
- 14 A. I don't remember that exactly.
- Q. You said that right at the time he yelled 1032, he had ahold
- 16 of the defendant, meaning Detective Pruitt?
- 17 A. The driver was getting out of the car, and I know Detective
- 18 Pruitt told him to get back in, and the driver was getting out,
- and he had closed the door, and that's when Detective Pruitt
- 20 took ahold of him, as the driver was attempting to lock the
- 21 door.
- 22 Q. All right.
- A. And he had already said 1032 then.
- Q. Okay. So, the defendant was out of the vehicle?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And at the very least was in the process of getting out of
- 2 the vehicle when you heard 1032?
- 3 A. Correct.
- 4 Q. Where was Detective Pruitt, looking at Defendant's Exhibit
- Number 2, when you saw the defendant get out of the vehicle and
- 6 Detective Pruitt had his hands on the individual?
- 7 A. The driver's door had been opened, so kind of like a V, and
- 8 he was standing there at the V part of it.
- 9 Q. Right at the --
- 10 A. Like the door was fully opened. So, you know, he had been
- 11 standing right in that area of the door where like the door --
- 12 end of the door is.
- Q. Okay. So, the door would have been open, and Detective
- 14 Pruitt would have been at the backside of the door?
- 15 A. Well, I don't know. The outside hitch side of the door.
- 16 Q. All right.
- 17 A. The latch part of it.
- 18 Q. Okay. So, where the handle is.
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. All right. Looking at Defendant's Exhibit Number 2,
- 21 Detective Pruitt would have been standing near the handle to
- open the front driver's side door?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. But the door would have been open in a V?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. And the defendant would have been standing up in that V
- **2** between the car and Detective Pruitt?
- 3 A. Briefly he was, yes.
- 4 Q. And Detective Pruitt had his hands on him?
- 5 A. Not at the time. It's when the defendant got out and closed
- 6 the door completely, and that's when he took hold of him
- 7 Q. But they would have both still been standing near the back
- 8 of the door.
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. Did you ever see Detective Pruitt prior to the defendant
- 11 getting out of the vehicle go up near what's called, based on
- 12 the last detective's testimony, the A pillar or the area of the
- door near the rear view mirror?
- 14 A. I don't recall him doing that. I didn't pay total attention
- 15 to it.
- 16 Q. It's your understanding, if I understand your testimony --
- and I'm not trying to characterize it. If I'm misstating,
- 18 please correct me.
- 19 Detective Pruitt would have approached from the rear of
- 20 the vehicle on the driver's side and would have encountered the
- 21 defendant, based on what you saw, somewhere near the back of the
- door near the handle to open the passenger side door.
- 23 A. As it appeared from my view, yes.
- Q. All right. And you never saw him go to the front of the
- vehicle or approach the vehicle from the front or go near the

- 1 front wheel well or anything like that?
- 2 A. I was not watching the whole time, but I did not see him go
- 3 that way.
- 4 Q. All right. After the individual got out of the car, you
- 5 heard 1032. Maybe you heard it before he got out of the car.
- 6 What, if anything, happened after that?
- 7 A. That's when I came around and met with Detective Pruitt, and
- 8 we were taking ahold of Mr. Poke.
- 9 Q. And it was just you and Detective Pruitt there at that time?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And the defendant was taken into custody?
- 12 A. Yes, he was.
- Q. Now, at the time he was taken into custody, do you recall
- 14 him yelling anything about, you know, "Go easy on me, I've been
- shot," or anything like that?
- 16 A. He said he had been shot with an AK-47.
- 17 Q. And do you know when or what was happening to him when he
- 18 said that?
- 19 | A. I do not.
- 20 Q. What is the process that you and Detective Pruitt went
- 21 through when you went around to Detective Pruitt's side and
- 22 assisted him in securing the defendant?
- 23 A. Mr. Poke is very tense, very tight, and we were trying to
- put his hands and secure them behind his back.
- Q. All right. So, the two of you were kind of wrestling to get

- 1 his arms behind his back. Is that about the time that he
- 2 yelled, "I've been shot with an AK-47"?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And that was the statement, "I've been shot with an AK-47"?
- 5 A. Yes. Very close to that, at least.
- 6 Q. Did you understand him to be protesting about the way his
- 7 arm was being pulled behind his back, and that's why he notified
- 8 you that he had been shot with the AK-47, so maybe you would go
- 9 easier on him?
- 10 A. That's a possibility, yes.
- 11 Q. All right. After he was cuffed, at that point -- I'm sorry.
- 12 Strike that.
- 13 What was going on in the area at the time that you and
- 14 Detective Pruitt were taking the defendant into custody? And by
- 15 that I mean was there other people that were on the scene,
- 16 civilians, anything like that?
- 17 A. No, there was nobody in the driveway around the car or
- anything at that time.
- 19 Q. All right. Nobody in the yard, nobody posing a threat to
- 20 officer safety, or anything like that?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. It was just you, Detective Pruitt, and the defendant?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. And it was, in fact, the defendant?
- 25 A. Yes, it was.

- 1 Q. Do you recognize him?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you see him in court today?
- 4 A. Yes.
- **Q.** And he's the gentleman over there in the orange, isn't he?
- 6 A. Yes, he is.
- 7 MR. BYRD: Judge, can the record reflect the
- 8 identification?
- 9 THE COURT: Yes.
- 10 BY MR. BYRD:
- 11 Q. Okay. So, does anybody call for backup?
- 12 A. Detective Pruitt asked for more officers.
- 13 Q. And approximately how long did it take for additional
- 14 officers to arrive?
- 15 A. Less than a minute, I believe.
- 16 Q. And do you know how many additional officers arrived on the
- 17 scene to assist in this arrest?
- 18 A. There was two of the tac officers, and then I believe a
- 19 squad showed up.
- 20 Q. The squad would have had one individual?
- 21 A. One officer, yes.
- 22 Q. And that's a squadrol van?
- 23 A. No, it's a squad car.
- Q. A squad car. So, at the time then that the defendant was
- being arrested, there was at least three other officers there

- 1 besides you and Detective Pruitt.
- 2 A. After he was in custody -- well, he was secured in handcuffs
- 3 at the time, and then they showed up.
- 4 Q. And what happened to the defendant after he was placed in
- 5 handcuffs?
- 6 A. He was placed in the back of the marked squad.
- 7 Q. And in this situation would the squad car have been locked?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. So, he would have been handcuffed and in the back of a
- 10 locked squad car?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. Was there any individuals on the scene, civilians, that
- would have posed any risk to officer safety at that point?
- 14 A. No, there were not.
- 15 Q. And you would agree that at that point there were five
- officers on the scene. Each of you would have had sidearns,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And the defendant was locked in the back of the vehicle, the
- 20 squad vehicle?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. In cuffs?
- 23 A. (Nodding.)
- Q. What, if anything, did you do after that?
- 25 A. That's when we called for a camera. The camera -- I don't

- 1 remember how the camera got to the scene. I believe a
- 2 supervisor had a camera and came to the scene. Maybe it was
- 3 Sergeant Stevens, but I don't remember.
- 4 Q. You remember Sergeant Stevens coming to the scene?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And do you know whether an individual by the name of Officer
- 7 Eric Jones came to the scene?
- 8 A. Yes, he did. He was one of the tac officers.
- 9 Q. And do you know whether or not he was the one who took the
- 10 | photographs?
- 11 A. Yes, he did.
- 12 Q. And these are the photographs that we're looking at today,
- 13 correct?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. I want to show you next what's marked as Defendant's
- 16 Exhibits 3 and 4 and ask you to take a look at those exhibits.
- 17 Do you recognize those?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. And can you tell us what Defendant's Exhibits 3 and 4 show?
- 20 A. Three is a far shot looking underneath the driver's seat of
- 21 the Chevy Impala, and it shows the front barrel of the handgun,
- 22 along with a green towel.
- Q. And the front barrel is sticking out from underneath the
- 24 driver's front seat?
- 25 A. That is correct.

- 1 Q. And did you view the weapon yourself?
- 2 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- **Q.** Did you take the weapon into possession yourself?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Looking at Defendant's Exhibit 3, would you agree that
- 6 there's approximately three inches of the front barrel of that
- 7 handgun sticking out from under the seat?
- 8 A. Approximately.
- 9 Q. And you can also see a little bit of the trigger area?
- 10 A. That is correct.
- 11 Q. And there's also a green towel?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 Q. And it looks like some type of cord?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Were you the individual that conducted the search in this
- 16 case?
- 17 A. Yes, I was.
- 18 Q. Now, Defendant's Exhibit 3 is taken from a vantage point of
- 19 above the floorboard, seat, gun, towel, and cord, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 Q. And it's a more wide angle view of the front floorboard?
- 22 A. That is correct.
- Q. Exhibit Number 4 is more of a side view of the gun and
- towel, and you can see the cord in there, as well?
- 25 A. More of a -- kind of a front on looking underneath the front

- 1 driver's seat.
- **Q.** Now, did you watch Officer Jones take these photographs?
- 3 A. No, I did not.
- 4 Q. But looking at the photographs, would you agree that
- 5 Defendant's Exhibit 3 was taken from above by leaning into the
- 6 car and snapping the photo whereas Defendant's Exhibit 4 would
- 7 have required the photographer to get down low and take it at an
- 8 angle from a front-on view?
- 9 A. Yes, I would.
- 10 Q. When you went -- when did you go to retrieve the gun and
- 11 conduct the search?
- 12 A. After the pictures taken.
- Q. After the pictures were taken. Prior to the pictures being
- 14 taken, did you or anyone else at the scene manipulate the scene
- in any way? Move the gun, move the towel, anything like that?
- 16 A. No, we did not.
- 17 Q. That would be an absolute breach of department policy, would
- 18 | it not?
- 19 A. Yes, it would.
- Q. It would be a breach of department policy to manipulate the
- scene in any way unless it was necessary for officer safety;
- 22 isn't that true?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, do you recall there being a Sanyo Cricket cell phone
- 25 recovered from the front floorboard of that vehicle?

- 1 A. I know there was a cell phone recovered. I don't know from
- 2 where.
- Q. Do you see that cell phone depicted anywhere in Defendant's
- 4 Exhibit 3 or 4?
- 5 A. No, I do not.
- 6 Q. Did you recover the cell phone?
- 7 A. I do not recall.
- **Q.** Looking at Defendant's Exhibit 3, do you see this little
- 9 silver box that appears to be mounted on the dashboard?
- 10 A. Yes, I do.
- 11 Q. Do you know whether or not that's the Cricket Sanyo cell
- 12 phone?
- 13 A. I do not know.
- 14 Q. Does it appear to be from what you can see in the
- 15 photograph?
- 16 A. It does not.
- 17 Q. It does not look like a cell phone, correct?
- 18 A. It does not.
- 19 Q. And it's not on the floorboard?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. So, you do not know where that Cricket Sanyo cell phone is,
- but you know it's not in Defendant's Exhibit 3 or 4.
- 23 A. I do not see it in the picture.
- Q. Do you know whether or not you saw it on the floorboard as
- you were conducting the search of the vehicle?

- 1 A. I do not recall seeing the phone in the car.
- Q. Okay. And what was Detective Pruitt doing between the time
- 3 in which the stop was made and the defendant secured and the
- 4 time in which Officer Jones showed up to take these photographs?
- 5 A. I do not know what his actions were.
- 6 Q. Do you know if he was in the vehicle?
- 7 A. He was not in the vehicle, but I don't know what his actions
- 8 were.
- 9 Q. You don't know whether he was messing around with anything,
- 10 do you?
- 11 A. He was not in the vehicle, no.
- 12 Q. So, what happened after the photographs were taken? You
- 13 began your search?
- 14 A. And then recovery of the evidence.
- 15 Q. And tell us what you did and what you recovered.
- 16 A. I wore gloves and recovered the firearm and secured it in a
- 17 plastic bag. Then I also during the search located several
- 18 baggies in the front center console with an off-white rocklike
- 19 substance that I also recovered. They were all photographed.
- Q. At the time that the vehicle was stopped, did you believe
- 21 that that vehicle was involved in the shooting involving
- 22 Clifford Horton?
- 23 A. Not the vehicle, no.
- Q. At the time that you located the firearm that's depicted in
- Defendant's Exhibit 3 and 4, did you have reason to believe that

- 1 that was the firearm used in the shooting involving Clifford
- 2 Horton?
- 3 A. Other than it being a .40 caliber, no other connection.
- 4 Q. Had a weapon been secured to match the bullets or the bullet
- 5 casings found at the scene of that murder?
- 6 A. No, they were not.
- 7 Q. Okay. So, there was still a .40 caliber gun at large?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. And it could have been this gun?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. But you had no reason to believe that the car was in any way
- 12 involved?
- 13 A. Not at that time, no.
- 14 Q. Okay. After you located -- you said you found some white
- 15 rock substance in the center console?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And you found the gun, obviously, that was recovered?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. Any other contraband or items located in the vehicle at that
- 20 time?
- 21 A. I do not believe so, no.
- Q. Now, at the time that Detective Pruitt yelled -- was it
- 23 1032?
- 24 A. That's correct.
- Q. You said that you then immediately came around. Did you

- come around the front or the back of the vehicle? 1
- 2 The back of the vehicle.
- 3 Q. And that's when the defendant was taken into custody?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- After the evidence was recovered from the vehicle, what, if 5 Q. 6 anything, happened after that?
- 7 MR. KARNER: Judge, I object. This is irrelevant. This is post-seizure. 8
  - This gets into the time frame of the MR. BYRD: i mpoundment.
- 11 MR. KARNER: How is that relevant?
- 12 MR. BYRD: Same reason it was relevant with the last 13 wi tness.
  - THE COURT: It goes to any alternate theory that may be generated by the evidence. I'll overrule the objection.
- 16 BY MR. BYRD:

9

10

14

15

- 17 At the time that -- what happened after you completed your search of the vehicle? 18
- 19 After recovering the items from the vehicle, I know the 20 vehicle was impounded by another officer, and then we went down 21 to the Public Safety Building to process the evidence.
- 22 Do you know if yourself -- well, did you yourself ever go to check with the owners of the residence to determine if it would 23 have been okay for that vehicle to be left there in the
- 24
- 25 dri veway?

- 1 A. I did not.
- 2 Q. Do you know whether Detective Pruitt or any other officer
- 3 did?
- 4 A. I do not know that.
- Q. Okay. Did you have occasion to -- we know that you observed
- 6 the vehicle and had watched it the night before and then
- 7 searched through the vehicle. My question is is there anything
- 8 about that vehicle that you noticed that led you to believe that
- 9 the vehicle was in any way incapable of being operated lawfully?
- 10 By that I mean expired registration, broken taillight, any type
- of equipment violation that would have made it a violation of
- 12 the Illinois Vehicle Code to be driven on the roadway?
- 13 A. No, I do not.
- 14 Q. And the defendant was charged with what offenses, if you
- 15 **know?**
- 16 A. I do not know exactly what his charges were.
- 17 Q. You had nothing to do with the charging decision?
- 18 A. No, it was not mine.
- 19 Q. And after the defendant was -- did you remain on the scene
- 20 until he was taken by the squadrol back to the station?
- 21 A. Very close to the same time, yes.
- MR. BYRD: May I have just a moment, Judge?
- 23 (Brief pause.)
- 24 BY MR. BYRD:
- 25 Q. Thank you, Detective Nordberg.

1 MR. BYRD: I'll pass the witness, your Honor.

CROSS EXAMINATION

3 BY MR. KARNER:

2

- 4 Q. When the car pulled into the driveway, it was your partner,
- 5 Detective Pruitt, who approached the driver's side?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. You remained at the back of the car?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 Q. So, Detective Pruitt was in a position to see whether or not
- 10 the defendant made a motion to reach underneath the driver's
- 11 seat?
- 12 MR. BYRD: Objection, your Honor, as to his ability to
- 13 know what Detective Pruitt was in a position to see.
- 14 THE COURT: He can testify as to his position. He's
- not testifying as to what he saw. I'll overrule the objection.
- 16 BY THE WITNESS:
- 17 A. Yes, he was in that position.
- 18 BY MR. KARNER:
- 19 Q. Okay. And he was also in a position to see the driver, the
- 20 defendant, roll up the driver's side window when you guys
- 21 approached?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. Did you see that?
- 24 A. Yes. I did.
- Q. Did you see him reach underneath the seat?

- 1 A. I saw him reaching down, yes, I did.
- **Q.** Okay. And then that's when the defendant opened the door
- and tried to get out of the car?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Officer Pruitt, he was wearing clothing that identified
- 6 himself as a police officer, was he not?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- 8 Q. What type of clothing?
- 9 A. It's a police raid vest or a large raid bulletproof vest
- 10 that says police on it. It has the large police on the back and
- 11 a badge symbol.
- 12 Q. And, of course, your squad car lights were activated at the
- 13 time, too?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- Q. And when the defendant tried to get out of the car,
- 16 Detective Pruitt ordered him to get back in the car?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And the defendant did?
- 19 A. No, he did not.
- 20 Q. He refused that command?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. He continued to get out of the car?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- Q. And was Detective Pruitt standing alongside the driver's
- side looking down in the passenger compartment when the

- 1 defendant got out of the car?
- 2 A. That is correct.
- Q. Detective Pruitt asked the defendant why he wouldn't stay
- 4 inside the car?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Defendant said that he was getting ready to go inside his
- 7 house and started to step out of the car again?
- 8 A. That is correct.
- 9 Q. And then it's at this point when Detective Pruitt yelled out
- 10 to you 1032?
- 11 A. That's about the right time, yes.
- 12 Q. And that's the code for there's a gun here?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. The defendant was able to quickly shut the driver's side
- 15 door?
- 16 A. Yes, he did.
- 17 Q. Did it appear to you that the defendant was trying to
- 18 conceal something from you?
- 19 A. I've never seen anybody do that before. So, yes.
- 20 Q. It was at this time Detective Pruitt ordered the defendant
- 21 to turn around and place his hands behind his back?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And that's when the defendant said, "Look, man. I was shot
- 24 by an AK-47"?
- A. Well, at the time we were trying to get his hands behind his

- 1 back, and he was very resistive.
- Q. And the defendant said, "Look, man. I was shot by an
- 3 AK-47"?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- **Q.** And he tensed up and refused to place his hands behind his
- 6 back, right?
- 7 A. That is correct.
- **8 Q.** The defendant is a large man, isn't he?
- 9 A. Yes, he is.
- 10 Q. Based on his refusal to be handcuffed, did you take any
- 11 action to summon more police officers to the scene so you could
- 12 be safe?
- 13 A. Detective Pruitt had done that.
- 14 Q. What did he do?
- 15 A. Used his radio and asked for more units.
- 16 Q. Okay. And then it took both you and Pruitt, both of you, to
- 17 get the defendant finally subdued into handcuffs?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. After that, he refused to hand over the driver's side key
- 20 when asked to do so?
- 21 A. That is correct.
- 22 Q. Or I should say the car key.
- 23 A. The car key, yes.
- Q. But he eventually released the key from his hand after being
- 25 ordered to do so several times?

- 1 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, if a phone was on the floorboard and determined
- 3 initially to be personal property, would it necessarily have had
- 4 to be kept in place along with the gun?
- 5 A. No, not necessarily.
- 6 Q. Okay. And that wouldn't necessarily run afoul of
- 7 departmental policy?
- 8 A. I don't see where it would if it's not evidentiary.
- 9 Q. Later on do you recall the defendant saying that the car did
- 10 not belong to him?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. Pardon?
- 13 A. Yes, I do.
- 14 Q. Okay. And did he also tell you he did not know anything
- 15 about what was inside the car?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And he refused to tell you officers who the car belonged to
- or who he borrowed the car from?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, did he make those statements to you before or after you
- searched the car?
- 22 A. After.
- Q. Pardon?
- 24 A. It was after.
- Q. And did he admit to you that he did not live at the address

#### Nordberg - Redirect

- 1 where the car was parked?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 MR. KARNER: I have nothing further.
- 4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. BYRD:
- 6 Q. Detective Nordberg, if I understood you correctly, you said
- 7 that a cell phone would not be evidentiary in a drug case?
- 8 A. At the time I didn't know it was a drug case.
- 9 Q. I thought you had recovered drugs?
- 10 A. Well, he was asking at the time the pictures were taken.
- 11 Q. Okay. You said that you weren't aware of any procedural
- policy that removing the cell phone from the crime scene would
- have violated. Are you familiar with General Order 60.02,
- 14 entitled crime scene processing?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. I'm going to show you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibit
- 17 Number 8 and ask you if you recognize that exhibit as being
- 18 General Order 60.02.
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 Q. If you could turn to the Post-It note marked page. This is
- 21 the appendix that discusses a portion of that policy on
- 22 preservation of evidence and property?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Both evidence and property, correct?
- 25 A. Correct.

#### Nordberg - Redirect

- 1 Q. And if you look at C -- I'm sorry. "A" discusses protecting
- 2 the crime scene. Subletter C, do not disturb. Isn't it true,
- and feel free to read through that, that the department policy
- 4 is not to remove anything from the scene that does not pose a
- 5 valid officer safety issue?
- 6 A. As a guide, yes, it is.
- 7 Q. It's not just a guide. That's a policy, isn't it?
- 8 A. But this is the guide part of the policy.
- 9 Q. Okay.
- 10 A. There's always exceptions. As it says here, it's a guide to
- 11 identification.
- 12 Q. Okay. But it's incorporated into the general order.
- 13 A. As the appendix, yes.
- 14 Q. And is there anything about the presence of a Sanyo Cricket
- cell phone located in the floorboard of a secure crime scene
- 16 that would have posed a safety issue --
- 17 A. No, there's not.
- 18 Q. -- requiring the cell phone to be removed?
- 19 A. No, there's not.
- Q. So, would you agree then that if, in fact, that gun -- I'm
- 21 sorry. Not the gun. If, in fact, the cell phone had been
- removed, it would be a violation of the General Order 60.02?
- 23 A. I don't know that for sure. I don't know why it was
- 24 removed.
- Q. Okay. Do you know if it was removed?

- 1 A. No, I do not know.
- 2 Q. But you agree it's not depicted in the photographs that were
- 3 taken before the search?
- 4 A. It is not in those photographs. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And you don't recall from your search of the vehicle where
- 6 it was?
- 7 A. I do not recall. It would be in Pruitt's report, but I do
- 8 not recall it.
- 9 Q. You didn't remove the cell phone, did you?
- 10 A. Not that I recall, no.
- 11 Q. Did you see Detective Pruitt remove the cell phone?
- 12 A. I do not recall that.
- 13 Q. After the gun was recovered -- at the time that the gun was
- 14 recovered, you had probable cause to believe that the offense of
- 15 unlawful use of weapons had been committed, correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 Q. Because it's always, no matter whether you have a FOID card
- or not, unlawful to drive with a gun stuck up under your seat.
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And you recovered the gun, I assume, first?
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- 22 Q. I'm sorry?
- 23 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. So, that would have been the first step you took is to
- 25 recover that gun?

- 1 MR. KARNER: Judge, this is beyond the scope.
  - MR. BYRD: I believe it's going to link up to the issue of whether or not the cell phone's evidence at the time that he initiates the search.
  - MR. KARNER: I don't know how discussion of the handgun has anything probative to do with the cell phone.
  - MR. BYRD: My next question is why after the gun was recovered did he continue to search the vehicle, and my guess is an answer might be to see if there's any drugs or other evidence of a crime. We've all heard in numerous -- just about every case that involves cell phone and drugs that the two go hand in hand. I just want to establish that for the record, if I can. I think it's relevant.

THE COURT: All right. I agree.

BY MR. BYRD:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. After you secured the handgun, why did you continue to search if the defendant was secure in a squad car and there was no one else around to get into the passenger compartment of the car?
- A. It's a search incident to arrest. Once you recover the gun, you can search the area.
- Q. Okay. And you're familiar with the search incident to arrest exception?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And are you familiar with United States v. Gant?

- 1 A. I know the name.
- Q. All right. Do you know whether or not in a search incident
- 3 to arrest you are only allowed to search an unoccupied vehicle
- 4 if, in fact, that search is expected to yield results or fruits
- 5 of a crime?
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. And, in particular, after Gant, the crime of arrest.
- 8 A. Sure.
- 9 Q. You had the gun. Do you know if the gun was loaded?
- 10 A. I do not recall at this time.
- 11 Q. You don't know. Is there anything that would refresh --
- 12 A. My report. Or Detective Pruitt's report would have it.
- 13 Q. Okay. You didn't author Detective Pruitt's report, though?
- 14 A. I'm sorry?
- 15 Q. You didn't author Detective Pruitt's report?
- 16 A. No, I did not.
- 17 Q. I'll take a stab at it. I'll show you what's been marked as
- 18 Defendant's Exhibit Number 9 and ask you if you recognize that
- exhi bi t.
- 20 A. That's a copy of Detective Pruitt's report.
- Q. Okay. Please take a look at it and let me know if and when
- your recollection is refreshed.
- 23 A. It's refreshed.
- Q. Is your recollection refreshed?
- 25 A. Yes.

- 1 Q. Was the gun loaded?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. So, it had a clip and rounds in the clip?
- 4 A. Yes, it did.
- 5 Q. At that point you had stopped the defendant for turning into
- 6 a residential driveway, and you had located and secured a
- 7 firearm that would have been an unlawful use of weapons. The
- 8 scene was secure. You agree with all of that?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Why did you need to search the vehicle? What were you
- 11 hoping to discover?
- 12 A. Well, the vehicle was going to be impounded. So, we'd
- probably search it for that reason then, too, its impound
- 14 search.
- 15 Q. Well, you said probably.
- MR. KARNER: Judge, again, the officer's subjective
- 17 intentions on why he continued to search are irrelevant here.
- 18 MR. BYRD: Well, I guess now it's relevant to the issue
- of whether or not he was conducting an inventory search or, as
- 20 he testified to a moment ago, search incident to lawful arrest.
- There's a difference in how far they can go in each of those
- 22 situations.
- 23 MR. KARNER: Except the defendant's testimony -- or I'm
- sorry -- the witness' testimony about what he chose is not
- controlling. That's the court's determination to make, whether

- or not it was objectively legally justified, and his subjective ideas are irrelevant.
  - MR. BYRD: Then it's relevant on the issue of whether or not the cell phone was or was not evidence, depending on whether or not his reason to continue to search was to look for drugs.
- 7 THE COURT: I'll allow the question to stand.
- 8 BY MR. BYRD:

3

4

5

6

- 9 Q. You can go ahead and answer.
- 10 A. Please repeat the question.
- 11 Q. You had the gun in your possession. You had the ammo and
- 12 the clip in the gun. The defendant was secure. The scene was
- 13 secure. Why did you continue to search?
- 14 A. Probably the next step would be as an inventory search.
- Q. Okay. You said probably. You're the one searching. It
- either was or wasn't an inventory search.
- 17 A. Well, the next step would be an inventory search then.
- 18 Q. So, your earlier answer that you were searching incident to
- 19 lawful arrest really wasn't the correct answer? It was an
- 20 inventory search?
- 21 A. Well, it started out once we see the gun, we recover that as
- a search. He's secured. The crime scene is secured. So, then
- 23 we're going to impound the vehicle, and it will be an inventory
- 24 search.
- Q. So, you're saying that the decision had been made to impound

- 1 | the vehicle at that point?
- 2 A. Well, if Sergeant Stevens was on scene, he would have
- 3 decided that, yes.
- 4 Q. And did you hear him decide that and say conduct an
- inventory search now that you've secured the gun?
- 6 A. Well, he wouldn't have told me to do that, but that's just
- 7 standard procedure.
- 8 Q. Would he have told you, "I've made the decision to impound
- 9 this vehicle. Do an inventory search"?
- 10 A. No. He would have said impound the vehicle.
- 11 Q. All right. So, from the point where you recovered the gun,
- 12 your testimony is the rest of the search, in particular the
- search that led to the discovery of the cocaine, was conducted
- as an inventory search because Detective Stevens had authorized
- 15 the vehicle to be impounded?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. You said that the defendant is a large man. You testified
- in Mr. Karner's cross examination that the defendant's a large
- 19 man?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And if I understood from your earlier testimony, the large
- 22 man, the defendant, would have been standing in that V between
- 23 Detective Pruitt and the handgun that had maybe three inches of
- 24 the barrel sticking out from under the driver's seat on the
- 25 floorboard, correct?

- 1 A. At one point it would have been, yes.
- Q. And if I understood, you said earlier that you never saw
- 3 Detective Pruitt go to the front of the vehicle or near the A
- 4 pillar or the rear view side mirror?
- 5 A. I didn't see where exactly he was each time, no.
- 6 Q. But when you heard 1032, he was standing, if I understood
- your testimony, at the back of the door near the handle with the
- 8 defendant standing in the V, which would have put Detective
- 9 Pruitt -- or would have put the defendant between Detective
- 10 Pruitt and the handgun?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. You said that --
- MR. KARNER: Judge, again, this is going beyond the scope.
- MR. BYRD: I'm directing him to the very questions
- 16 Mr. Karner asked him in cross. He said he was a large man.
- MR. KARNER: Well, the fact that I used those words
- doesn't necessarily mean he can re-go into the --
- 19 THE COURT: I think it has to do with the same issues.
- 20 BY MR. BYRD:
- Q. Detective Nordberg, Mr. Karner asked you about seeing the
- defendant reach down. Do you remember that?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And when exactly did you see him reach down? Was it after
- 25 he turned into the driveway?

- 1 A. Well, yes.
- 2 Q. So, would it have been when you were getting out and
- 3 approaching the passenger side?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. And then you said, you testified that as he was trying to
- 6 get out of the car, he rolled his window up?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. There would be nothing unusual about an individual rolling
- 9 their window up if, in fact, they intend to go into the house,
- 10 would there?
- 11 A. At a traffic stop it's a little unusual, but no, not in
- 12 general.
- 13 Q. And the defendant did, in fact, tell you that he was
- 14 planning on going inside the house?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 Q. And at the time that the search was conducted, the defendant
- was arrested, you didn't have any information to believe that he
- 18 wasn't welcome inside that house, did you?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. He refused -- you testified he refused to tell you who owned
- 21 the vehicle.
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. Now, that didn't hamper your investigation any, did it?
- 24 A. No, it did not.
- Q. Because you were able to run his plates and get the

- 1 registered owner of the vehicle through LEADS?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. And if I understood your testimony when Mr. Karner was
- 4 asking you questions, you said that the defendant, after he got
- out of the car, appeared as if he was trying to conceal
- 6 something?
- 7 A. Yes.
- **8 Q.** Did I understand that correctly?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. That was after he got out of the car?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And do you know what, if anything, he was trying to conceal?
- 13 A. I do now, yes.
- 14 Q. What was it?
- 15 A. That gun.
- 16 Q. What was it he was doing after he was out of the car that
- would be indicative of trying to conceal something?
- 18 A. Close the door and secure the vehicle.
- 19 Q. If an individual is going into the house, would it be common
- 20 for him to leave the door open?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. The window down?
- 23 A. He wasn't allowed in the house, not during a traffic stop.
- Q. Now, this traffic stop, were you in an unmarked or a marked
- 25 vehi cle?

- 1 A. Unnarked.
- 2 Q. Are there lights?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. Were the lights activated?
- 5 A. Yes, they were.
- 6 Q. But he wasn't trying to conceal anything on his person?
- 7 MR. KARNER: Objection. He's asking him to speculate as to what the defendant was trying to do.
- 9 MR. BYRD: Let me rephrase. I'll withdraw the 10 question.
- 11 BY MR. BYRD:
- 12 Q. The defendant wasn't making any actions once he was out of
- 13 the vehicle that would have led you to believe he was trying to
- 14 conceal something on his person, was he?
- A. He had clenched fists. I didn't know what was inside each
- 16 **fist.**
- 17 Q. You never found out what was inside of his fists?
- 18 A. Afterwards, yes. The car keys were inside one.
- Q. But you didn't find anything on the defendant that would
- 20 constitute contraband?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. So, you don't have any reason to believe he was trying to
- 23 conceal contraband on his person?
- 24 MR. KARNER: Objection. Calls for speculation.
- 25 MR. BYRD: I'll withdraw the question. One moment,

- 1 please.
- 2 (Brief pause.)
- 3 BY MR. BYRD:
- 4 Q. Detective Nordberg, based on your surveillance of that
- 5 silver Chevy Impala the night before, you were fully aware at
- 6 the time of the defendant's arrest who that car belonged to,
- 7 were you not?
- 8 A. Not at the time of his arrest, but afterwards, yes.
- 9 Q. So, during the surveillance the night before, you didn't run
- 10 | plates?
- 11 A. Oh, I apologize. Yes, I was aware then of the registered
- 12 owner of the vehicle, yes.
- 13 Q. All right. So, you would have been aware, even probably
- 14 before you pulled the vehicle over that day, who it belonged to?
- 15 A. Yes, I would have known on the 5th.
- 16 Q. All right. So, you were asking the defendant for what
- 17 reason? Just to see if he's cooperative?
- 18 A. Well, he's not -- does he know who the car is. We don't
- 19 know if it's stolen or not. So, you ask who is the owner of
- 20 this car, since it's not coming back to him or any relation to
- 21 him
- Q. You didn't have any reason to believe that the car had been
- 23 reported stolen.
- 24 A. No, I did not.
- 25 Q. That would have been come back on a LEADS check?

### **Nordberg - Recross**

- 1 A. It would have, yes.
- Q. And just so the record's clear, LEADS is the law enforcement
- data system that allows you to route to Springfield with the
- 4 license plate number and get back all the registration
- 5 information?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. Thank you, Detective.
- 8 MR. BYRD: I'll pass the witness, your Honor.
- 9 RECROSS EXAMINATION
- 10 BY MR. KARNER:
- 11 Q. Well, the presence of the gun would have led you to look for
- 12 other evidence in the car, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. Like bullet evidence?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Like a holster?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Like documents with Dayton Poke's name on it?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. You also know from your experience that guns and drugs go
- 21 together, don't they?
- 22 A. Yes, they do.
- Q. So, the presence of a gun in the car would lead a reasonably
- prudent officer to believe there might be drugs in the car, too?
- 25 A. That's correct.

# Nordberg - Further Redirect

- 1 Q. Okay.
- 2 MR. KARNER: Nothing further.
- 3 MR. BYRD: Just one question. Or two, actually.

#### FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. BYRD:

4

- 6 Q. So, if you saw the presence of the gun to be probable cause
- 7 to believe there might be drugs in the car, you know that -- to
- 8 take Mr. Karner's last question one step further, drugs and guns
- 9 go hand in hand, but so do cell phones, correct?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 Q. So, that would, in fact, at the time you first saw the
- weapon would lead you to conclude that the cell phone might, in
- 13 fact, be evidence in this case?
- 14 A. Well, that would be correct, yes.
- 15 Q. Because if there are drugs, you might get contacts off of
- 16 that cell phone?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And that might lead you to bigger dealers or people being
- 19 dealt to?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. So, was this -- based upon your last answer to Mr. Karner's
- question, your continued search, was it an inventory search, or
- was it a search incident to lawful arrest?
- MR. KARNER: Objection as to asking the witness to
- 25 characterize a legal theory. That's for the court to decide.

```
1
      That's a legal conclusion.
 2
               MR. BYRD:
                          Well, Judge, he already gave the answer --
 3
      well, he gave both answers. First it was a search incident to
 4
      lawful arrest. Then later it became an inventory search after
                          Now it seems he's back to search incident to
 5
      Sergeant Stevens.
 6
      lawful arrest. I'm just trying to nail it down.
 7
               MR. KARNER:
                             Well, nailing it down, it doesn't matter
      what this witness' theory was. It's what the court determines
8
9
      the legal justification was.
10
               THE COURT:
                            I agree. The officer's subjective intent
11
      is not relevant.
12
                MR. BYRD: All right.
                                       Then thank you.
                                                       That's all I
13
      have.
14
               MR. KARNER:
                             I have nothing further.
15
                THE COURT: You may step down.
16
           (Witness excused.)
17
                THE COURT: How many more witnesses do you have?
18
                MR. BYRD:
                           I'm sorry?
19
               THE COURT:
                           How many more witnesses do you have?
                          I believe I have four -- or no.
20
               MR. BYRD:
                                                            Three.
                                                                    But
21
      I don't know that I'll need to get to all three of them
22
      next witness is Detective Pruitt.
23
                THE COURT: All right. Let's take 15 minutes.
                                                                Let's
24
      start again at 20 after 4:00.
25
                           Thank you, your Honor.
                MR. BYRD:
```

### Jones - Direct (Brief recess.) 1 2 MR. BYRD: Judge, when they bring the defendant out, if 3 I could speak to him for one second. I may have two witnesses, but there are also two other witnesses who are detectives that 4 5 if he authorizes I'd like to release so they can get out of 6 here. THE COURT: 7 Sure. (Brief pause.) 8 9 MR. BYRD: Judge, my next witness is going to be Officer Eric Jones. 10 11 (Brief pause.) 12 (Witness duly sworn.) 13 THE COURT: Please take a seat at the witness stand. ERIC JONES, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN 14 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BYRD: 16 17 Good afternoon, sir. Can you state your name, please? Sure. It's Eric Jones. 18 A. 19 Q. And how are you employed? 20 A. **Rockford Police Department.** 21 And were you employed with the Rockford Police Department on Q. 22 July 6th, 2011? Yes. I was. 23 24 Did you have occasion to be dispatched to a scene in order 25 to take photographs of a silver Chevy Impala?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. And did you, in fact, go to the scene and take photographs?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. I want to show you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibits 1,
- 5 2, 3, and 4 and ask you if you could take a look at those
- 6 exhibits. Do you recognize those exhibits?
- 7 A. Yes, I do.
- 8 Q. And can you tell us what those exhibits are?
- 9 A. Exhibit 1 is a Chevy Impala with license plates of L,
- 10 Lincoln, 215852. Exhibit Number 2 is the same vehicle taken
- 11 from the driver's side of the same Chevy Impala. Exhibit 3 is
- 12 the interior driver's side of the Chevy Impala with a black
- handgun sticking out in the driver's side seat by a green towel.
- 14 And Exhibit 4 is a closer shot of the handgun with a green
- 15 towel.
- 16 Q. And are these photographs that you took on the evening of
- 17 July 6th, 2011?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you recall specifically taking these photographs?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Do they fairly and accurately depict the scene as you
- observed them at the time you took the photographs that day?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. I'm going to talk about first Defendant's Exhibits 3 and 4.
- 25 And you would agree that those are two shots that you took of

- 1 the driver's side floorboard of that Chevy Impala?
- 2 A. Yes.
- **Q.** And specifically Defendant's Exhibit 3 would have been
- 4 somewhat of an overhead shot showing the few inches of the
- 5 handgun barrel and trigger area sticking out from under the
- 6 front driver's seat?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. So, at the time you took that photograph, can you tell us
- 9 how you positioned yourself to take it?
- 10 A. I don't recall my exact position, but --
- 11 Q. Would you agree that given what's depicted in the
- 12 photograph, you would have leaned in and taken the shot from
- 13 above?
- 14 A. Oh, yes, I was above.
- Q. Does Defendant's Exhibit 3 depict the majority or all of the
- 16 | front driver's seat floorboard area?
- 17 A. Except where the pedal area is that -- you can't see that in
- 18 the picture, but yes.
- 19 Q. Now, you were sent to the scene to photograph evidence,
- 20 correct?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. Once you arrived, you were asked to photograph
- 23 evi dence?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And this would have been an example of you photographing

- 1 evidence. In this particular situation the gun?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Defendant's Exhibit 4 is the same firearm, and you would
- 4 agree that to take that photograph, the vantage point appears to
- 5 be you would have knelt down or stooped down near the door area
- 6 and shot the photograph from a side angle?
- 7 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 8 Q. Do you recall taking any photographs of a Cricket Sanyo cell
- 9 phone that evening?
- 10 A. I don't recall. I took several photos that evening of the
- 11 vehicle and the evidence that Detective Nordberg pointed out to
- 12 me.
- Q. Did you see a Cricket Sanyo cell phone, if you recall?
- 14 A. I don't recall.
- Q. You would agree that there does not appear to be a Cricket
- Sanyo cell phone depicted in Defendant's Exhibit 3 in that
- 17 driver's side floorboard, correct?
- 18 A. Yes, I do not see one in 3.
- 19 Q. And you do see a silver boxlike item mounted -- it appears
- to be mounted on the dashboard somewhere? Specifically that
- 21 i tem?
- 22 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And does that appear to be a Cricket Sanyo cell phone?
- 24 A. I don't know what that is.
- 25 Q. You would agree that it is not laying in the floorboard?

- 1 A. No. It's attached to the dash.
- Q. At the time that you were at the scene, did you manipulate
- any of the items prior to taking photographs of the scene?
- 4 A. No, I did not.
- 5 Q. So, you did not move or remove a Cricket Sanyo cell phone?
- 6 A. No, sir.
- 7 Q. You did not pull that gun just a little bit out from under
- 8 the seat, did you?
- 9 A. No. sir.
- 10 Q. That's not something you would ever do?
- 11 A. No, sir.
- 12 Q. And in Defendant's Exhibit 4, do you see any Cricket Sanyo
- 13 | cell phone in there?
- 14 A. No, sir.
- 15 Q. And in case I forgot, if I asked this question, these fairly
- and accurately depict the photos, four of the photos, that you
- 17 took that night?
- 18 A. Four of the photos I took that night. That's correct.
- 19 MR. BYRD: Thank you, Officer. I'll pass the witness
- 20 your Honor.
- 21 MR. KARNER: No questions.
- 22 THE COURT: You may step down. Thank you for your
- 23 help.
- 24 (Witness excused.)
- 25 THE COURT: Next witness.

	Pruitt - Direct			
1	MR. BYRD: Your Honor, at this time I would call			
2	Detective Maurice Pruitt.			
3	(Brief pause.)			
4	(Witness duly sworn.)			
5	MAURICE PRUITT, DEFENDANT'S WITNESS, SWORN			
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
7	BY MR. BYRD:			
8	Q. Good afternoon, sir. Would you state your name, please?			
9	A. Maurice Pruitt.			
10	Q. And how are you employed?			
11	A. Detective, City of Rockford Police.			
12	Q. Detective Pruitt, were you so employed on July 6th of 2011?			
13	A. Yes.			
14	Q. On that day did you have occasion sometime in the area of			
15	5:25 in the evening to get dispatched or travel to the scene of			
16	an armed robbery at the Quik Mart store on 7th Street and 12th			
17	Avenue in the City of Rockford?			
18	A. Yes.			
19	Q. And who were you with?			
20	A. I was with Detective Kevin Nordberg.			
21	Q. And were you driving the squad car?			
22	A. Yes.			
23	Q. And he was your passenger?			
24	A. Yes.			
25	Q. You're a plainclothes detective, correct?			

- 1 A. At that time, yes.
- Q. That means you would have just been in -- how were you
- 3 dressed?
- 4 A. I was dressed in -- it would have been jeans, casual shirt.
- 5 I was wearing also a protective bulletproof vest.
- 6 Q. And do you remember how Detective Nordberg was dressed?
- 7 A. It would have been similar.
- 8 Q. Similar. And so, you're in street clothes, but you have the
- 9 protective vest. Is that because you're en route to investigate
- 10 an armed robbery?
- 11 A. That was because we were actively patroling the streets that
- 12 day. Regardless of the call, we were out patroling.
- 13 Q. All right. Now, at the time that you and Detective Nordberg
- 14 are -- do you recall were you dispatched to the Quik Mart or --
- 15 A. We heard the broadcast of the armed robbery at Quik Mart
- 16 store, and we responded to the area.
- 17 Q. All right. So, detectives don't get dispatched like street
- 18 officers do. You just made the decision to go to the scene and
- 19 help?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And what specifically were you and Detective Nordberg doing
- 22 to assist in the Quik Mart armed robbery investigation?
- 23 A. At that time we were just driving around the area to see if
- 24 we -- it was a broadcast two black males. So, we were just
- driving around the area, see if we saw anything that matched

- 1 whatever the broadcast was as far as descriptions.
- 2 Q. And the broadcast included that those individuals fled the
- 3 area on foot?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 Q. Are you familiar with an individual by the name of Dayton
- 6 Poke?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. At the time that you were involved in the Quik Mart store
- 9 investigation, were you aware of Mr. Poke from previous contacts
- 10 you've had with him?
- 11 A. Not from -- I was aware of him from past police
- 12 investigations, but not directly.
- 13 Q. You knew what he looked like?
- 14 A. I didn't know what he -- I did not know what he looked like
- 15 at the time.
- 16 Q. Okay. So, you knew the name, but not the individual?
- 17 A. Yes, that's correct.
- 18 Q. Was there any reason that you had to believe that Dayton
- 19 Poke was involved in the Quik Mart store armed robbery that day?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Was there any reason you had to believe that Dayton Poke was
- 22 involved in a murder investigation involving Clifford Horton?
- 23 | A. No.
- Q. Did you yourself work the Clifford Horton investigation?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. What, if anything, happened while you and Detective Nordberg
   were in the Quik Mart store vicinity?
- A. We were checking the area in my police vehicle. We were
- 4 stopped on 8th Street facing northbound at the stop sign at 8th
- 5 Street and 10th Avenue. That's when we noticed a silver Chevy
- 6 Inpala facing southbound on 8th Street and 10th Avenue at the
- 7 stop sign. Detective Nordberg brought to my attention that the
- 8 vehicle matched the same vehicle -- the license plate of the
- 9 same vehicle that he and other detectives had performed
- 10 surveillance on the night before. He told me that the
- 11 information was that Dayton Poke and Clifford Horton were
- seen -- supposed to be driving around in his car, were seen
- 13 together in his car matching that same description.
- Q. When you saw the individual in the silver Chevy Impala, if I
- understood correctly, you were facing each other at a four-way
- 16 intersection?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And were you able to see inside the interior of that vehicle
- 19 as you faced it?
- 20 A. Yes, but I don't really recall paying too much attention to
- 21 the driver at the time. I was just -- you know, not until he
- 22 had started talking about what had happened the day before, as
- 23 far as surveillance.
- Q. That's when he said something to the effect of hey, I
- 25 recognize that car. We were surveilling that the other night

- 1 because Clifford Horton was supposed to be riding around in it
- 2 with Dayton Poke?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. He used the name Dayton Poke and the name Clifford Horton?
- 5 A. He used the nicknames.
- 6 Q. Day-Lo?
- 7 A. Day-Lo.
- **8** Q. That would be for Dayton Poke?
- 9 A. Dayton Poke and Flip.
- 10 Q. Flip is Clifford Horton?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Do you know Dayton Poke by the name of Day-Lo based on what
- you had heard or knew of him prior to that?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. When you looked into the vehicle, do you recall
- 16 whether or not you could tell that there was only one occupant,
- 17 that being the driver?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And what happened after you made that observation at the
- 20 four-way?
- 21 A. Well, at that point the car had already made a left turn
- 22 eastbound on 10th Avenue.
- Q. Was there anything unusual about that left turn? Did he
- peel out, or could you see -- well, let me just ask that. Did
- 25 he peel out or anything unusual about the way he executed that

Prui	tt -	Di	rect

- 1 turn?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Did he appear to speed up as if to try to evade you after he
- 4 made that left turn?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. And could you see his face when you were at that four-way
- 7 intersection?
- 8 A. No, not clearly.
- 9 Q. Just a silhouette?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. All right. So, he made the turn. Then what did he do?
- 12 A. At that time I also made a right turn eastbound onto 8th
- 13 Avenue.
- 14 Q. Let me ask you to stop right there. At that point had you
- 15 made the decision to pull that vehicle over based on what
- 16 Detective Nordberg had told you about surveilling it the night
- 17 before?
- 18 A. No, not at that time.
- 19 Q. Was there any discussion about trying to ascertain from the
- driver of that vehicle where Clifford Horton might be?
- 21 MR. KARNER: Objection.
- 22 THE COURT: What's the objection?
- 23 MR. KARNER: Your Honor, it's the same objection I had
- 24 with Detective Nordberg. This goes again into their mental
- 25 impressions and their thoughts and processes.

THE COURT: You know, I understand that I don't have to make -- or that my decision, my determination in this case, is not based upon the mental process or the intent of the officers, but that doesn't mean that any ideas or any thoughts that they have are irrelevant.

MR. KARNER: Well, certainly their conversations, though. What's at issue is their conduct and their observations, not the conversations between the officers, your Honor.

MR. BYRD: I'll withdraw the question and move on, Judge.

12 BY MR. BYRD:

- Q. Detective Pruitt, with respect to that vehicle, did you at any point believe that it was Clifford Horton driving that vehicle?
- A. I did not know who was driving the vehicle. I was just -like I said, based on the information he had told me, I knew
  that -- my thoughts were that we should probably ask for other
  officers to maybe conduct a stop to possibly see who was in the
  vehicle since this vehicle was being surveilled the night before
  for a possible murder suspect.
- Q. And that was your state of mind prior to --

23 MR. KARNER: Objection.

24 BY MR. BYRD:

Q. (Continuing) -- pulling the vehicle over?

1 MR. KARNER: Objection.

THE COURT: I'll allow that question to stand. I'll assure the government that I will not use the subjective intent of the officers in making my determination as to the lawfulness of the search.

MR. KARNER: Yes, sir.

- 7 BY THE WITNESS:
- 8 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat your question?
- 9 BY MR. BYRD:

2

3

4

5

6

- 10 Q. Sure. You just went through your thought process of maybe
- 11 having another officer come stop the vehicle because it had been
- 12 surveilled, and my question is was all that your state of mind
- prior to stopping the vehicle that night?
- 14 A. I guess I don't understand exactly what you mean by that.
- 15 mean, my thought was that we needed to -- I thought we needed to
- verify who the driver was, but, you know, it wasn't our plan to
- 17 stop the vehicle at that particular moment, not until we knew we
- 18 had backup.
- 19 Q. Okay. But it was your plan to stop the vehicle --
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. -- once you had backup?
- 22 A. Yeah. Based on information that I received, I thought --
- yeah, I thought that --
- **Q.** From Detective Nordberg --
- 25 A. Right.

- 1 Q. -- about the night before?
- 2 A. Exactly.
- 3 Q. And you didn't have to wait for backup because the defendant
- 4 broke a traffic law, correct?
- 5 A. Well, once again, my vehicle got close to the vehicle, and
- 6 it made an immediate right turn into the driveway without using
- 7 his turn signal. At that point we were forced to, you know,
- 8 just initiate the stop at that point.
- 9 Q. If he had not made that turn and broke the traffic law of
- 10 failing to signal, was it your intent to follow him until backup
- 11 arrived, then pull him over?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 MR. KARNER: Objection, Judge. That's really
- 14 irrelevant, though.
- 15 THE COURT: I agree.
- MR. BYRD: All right.
- 17 BY MR. BYRD:
- 18 Q. And, Detective Pruitt, at that point you would agree that
- 19 you and Detective Nordberg were no longer concerned about the
- 20 armed robbery at the Quik store?
- 21 A. At that point, yes.
- Q. Because this appeared to be something more important?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So, that vehicle pulled over -- or I'm sorry -- turned into
- 25 the residential driveway without signaling. What, if anything,

- 1 did you do at that point?
- 2 A. I exited my car and started to approach the driver's side
- 3 window of the vehicle. As I'm approaching the vehicle, I saw
- 4 the driver. He was rolling up the window quickly, and he was
- 5 leaning over in front of the seat.
- 6 Q. Okay. How did you position the vehicle that you were
- 7 driving in relation to his vehicle in that driveway?
- 8 A. Directly behind the vehicle.
- 9 Q. With your nose facing the rear end?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Or was it sideways?
- 12 A. It was more facing the rear of the vehicle.
- 13 Q. Okay. And that would have put both you and Detective
- 14 Nordberg about equidistant from the Impala?
- 15 A. Yes, I would say so.
- 16 Q. Did you pull into the driveway itself, or did you stop in
- 17 the street?
- 18 A. Pulled into the driveway.
- 19 Q. I want to show you what's marked as Defendant's Exhibits 1
- 20 through 4. I'll start first with 1 and 2 and ask you to take a
- 21 look at those exhibits.
- 22 A. Okay. Yes.
- Q. Do you recognize them?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And does that appear to be the Impala we're talking about?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. At the scene?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And at that point it is -- the Impala is in the residential
- 5 dri veway?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 Q. We can't see your squad car, can we, in those photos?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. But you believe you were behind it facing the same direction
- 10 in the driveway?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. These Exhibits 1 and 2 fairly and accurately depict the
- 13 vehicle once it came to rest in that driveway?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. I'll come back to 3 and 4 in a minute.
- 16 You said that as you were approaching the vehicle, the
- driver was rolling up the window and reaching down. What, if
- 18 anything, happened after that?
- 19 A. At that point I was by the window, and he had started to
- 20 open up the door, and I said -- I told him no, to stay inside
- 21 the car, and at the point he said, well, I want to, you know,
- get out because I live here, something to that effect. I'm
- going inside my house.
- Q. He said he was going to go inside the house or his house?
- 25 A. His house.

- 1 Q. Okay. And what's Detective Nordberg doing at the time, if
- 2 you know?
- 3 A. I don't know. I was totally focused on the driver because
- 4 of his actions when I was walking up to the vehicle.
- 5 Q. Had you drawn your sidearm at that point?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. So, you're still holstered. Do you know if Detective
- 8 Nordberg had drawn his sidearm?
- 9 A. I don't believe so. I wasn't paying attention to him I
- 10 was totally focused on the driver.
- 11 Q. And the driver was attempting to get out of the vehicle?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How far had he gone toward trying to get out of the vehicle?
- 14 Had he actually stepped out and stood up or --
- 15 A. No. He just -- to the point where the door started to open
- and I hold it shut for a second, and then when he said, well,
- 17 I'm going to get out and go inside my house, the way I was
- positioned then, he was able -- he stepped out of the car, fully
- 19 out of the car.
- 20 Q. Okay. And I want to show you again -- I should have left
- 21 Defendant's Exhibit 2 with you because it shows the driver's
- side of the car. Do you agree?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. You would have been approaching from the rear on the
- 25 driver's side?

- 1 A. That's correct.
- Q. And you said that the defendant started to get out, was
- 3 rolling his window up fast, and reached down. Can you show on
- 4 that photograph or tell approximately where you would have been
- 5 when he started rolling up the window and reaching down?
- 6 A. I would have been right up by the front driver's side window
- 7 near the door handle.
- 8 Q. Okay. So, it would have been right about where the front
- 9 driver's side door meets the back driver's side door?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And when he tried to open the door, you wouldn't have been
- 12 standing at the handle, or the door would have hit you, correct?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And so, you would have been actually more behind the rear
- passenger door?
- 16 A. No. At the time I came closer towards the outside mirror,
- 17 the front of the door, because because of his actions, I was
- 18 trying to maintain sight of what he was doing exactly.
- 19 Q. So, you would have been at the front of the driver's side
- 20 | door?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- Q. And if you were inclined to get out, wouldn't that have put
- you at risk of having a big steel door in between the two of
- 24 you?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And that would have made it extremely difficult to secure
- 2 hin?
- 3 A. At that time, yes.
- 4 Q. Did you at some point move? You said back by the handle of
- 5 the door -- handle to open the front driver's side door.
- 6 A. After the second time when he actually did get out of the
- 7 car, yes, I did move back away from the door where I was more
- 8 positioned to be closer to him
- 9 Q. So, when he got out of the car, you're saying that you were
- 10 actually -- had the door between the two of you, and you were
- 11 more toward the front and not back by the handle?
- 12 A. That's correct. I was actually in a back position, but
- because of the circumstances of what I observed, I felt that was
- 14 the -- I wanted to maintain exactly what -- I wanted to maintain
- eyesight of his hands and exactly what he was doing at the time.
- 16 Q. Now, if the person who's in the driver's side driving that
- vehicle gets out of the vehicle to close the door, they would
- have to walk away from you then, would they not?
- 19 A. I don't think I quite understand.
- Q. Sure. Okay. If you're positioned with the doorway between
- 21 you and the driver, the driver steps up out of that vehicle, you
- 22 would agree it's driver, doorway, you?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And the door would be open, creating a sort of wedge or a V?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. To close the door, as the defendant would have done, he
- 2 would have had to walk forward toward the back of the vehicle to
- 3 clear the door to close it?
- 4 A. Well, he kind of stepped forward at that time to attempt to
- 5 close it.
- 6 Q. And by forward you mean toward the back of the vehicle?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. Because if he was to walk forward facing the front, he's
- 9 just going to walk into the door?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. So, he would have had to clear the door and close it?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. So, he would have been moving away from the vehicle. How
- would your position on the other side of that door have given
- you a better view of his hands if he's walking away from you to
- 16 close the door?
- 17 A. Well, at the point he was stepping out, I had already
- 18 started to reposition myself. I was at the front of the vehicle
- 19 from the moment that -- the first time when he was trying to get
- 20 out the first time. I told him no, stay in the car. Then it
- 21 was after that point when he told me, well, I'm getting out to
- go inside my house and he actually opened the door to step out
- was when I repositioned myself.
- Q. Okay. So, when he went to step out of the vehicle, you were
- 25 no longer in a position where the door was between you. You had

- 1 moved more toward the back of the vehicle?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. Okay. So, the door couldn't have hit you then if he had
- 4 decided to open it violently?
- 5 A. Well, from where I was standing, it could have, had he have
- 6 done it that way.
- 7 0. But he didn't.
- 8 A. Right.
- 9 Q. Instead he created the wedge, and you had moved back to
- 10 where -- you were behind the handle of the door near the back
- passenger side door, and he's in the wedge, correct?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. And would you agree that the defendant is a rather big guy?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Tall and kind of wide? Though, I'm no one to talk. But
- 16 he's a big guy?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall if he threw the door all the way open, or did
- 19 he just open it enough to where he could stand up and get out of
- 20 the car?
- 21 A. Just enough for him to get out, get out of the car.
- Q. All right. And you were focused on his hands.
- 23 A. Yes, I was, pretty much.
- Q. And he appeared to have something in his hands, correct?
- 25 You later learned he had something in his hands? You wrestled

- 1 him for it? Keys maybe?
- 2 A. Yes, he had his hands balled up. And, yeah, we later
- 3 learned that was keys he had in his hands.
- 4 Q. And as he stood up out of the car, you're looking at his
- 5 hands, focusing on his hands, facing him as gets out and stands
- 6 in this wedge.
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. With the door not opened all the way, but just creating an
- 9 angle that he's blocking.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And what happened after that?
- 12 A. Prior to him getting out the second time, fully getting out
- of the car, when I was by the front of the mirror, I had already
- 14 looked down where he was leaning over because that was my main
- concern. It was a red flag for me from him the way he was
- 16 leaning over, and I could see the barrel of the black handgun
- 17 next to a green towel, just the barrel of the gun.
- 18 Q. Okay. You saw the barrel of the handgun while the defendant
- was still seated in the vehicle?
- 20 A. No, sir. I saw it while he had -- the second time while he
- 21 had actually started to get out of the car. That's when I saw
- 22 the barrel of the gun underneath the seat.
- Q. Okay. This would have been back where you were in between
- 24 the defendant and the door?
- 25 A. While I was still by the front mirror here right in front of

- 1 the door, yes.
- 2 Q. Right. Before he had created a wedge --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- and you had noved to the back?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You had said that one of the reasons that you moved to the
- 7 front was to try to keep him in the car?
- 8 A. No. The first time when he tried to get out of the car, I
- 9 told him to stay in the car. The second time once he got out of
- 10 the car, and this is after I saw the barrel of the gun here,
- 11 yeah, I positioned myself towards the rear of the door there so
- 12 I can -- at that point is when I grabbed ahold of him
- Q. How much time elapsed between when you say you saw the gun
- 14 the first time he started to get out of the car and then him
- actually getting out of the car and you moving to the back area
- 16 where this wedge is created with the door? Approximately how
- 17 long?
- 18 A. I saw the gun the second time while he was getting out of,
- 19 not the first time. It was while he was stepping out of the
- 20 car, my attention was still focused on where he had been leaning
- over, and it was at that point when I moved towards the side of
- 22 the door.
- Q. So, but you saw the gun -- and I don't want to beat a dead
- 24 horse. I just want to be clear. You said you saw the gun when
- 25 he -- the first time when he started to get out of the car while

- 1 you were near the front by the mirror?
- 2 A. No. I saw the gun -- the first time he tried to get out of
- 3 the car, I kept him in there, and then when he said he was
- 4 getting out to go inside his house, he actually started to step
- out of the car, and that's when I was still focused in on the
- 6 area where he had been leaning over, and that's when I saw the
- 7 gun. The second time when he actually fully stepped out of the
- 8 car.
- 9 Q. Okay. So, the first time when you saw the gun, he did not
- 10 | fully step out of the car, but he had started to?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 Q. And you were in the front driver's side door area by the
- 13 mi rror?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And he opened the door. How far did he try to go to get
- 16 out?
- 17 A. He just created enough space for him to, you know, get out.
- 18 Q. So, had he swung his legs over and started to stand up?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. Or was that the second time?
- 21 A. The second time he actually fully got out.
- Q. Okay. What did he do exactly the first time? And by that I
- 23 mean, what did his legs do? Did he stand up? Please tell us.
- A. The first time I never allowed him to even move his legs. I
- 25 mean, he opened the door with his hands and then started to open

- 1 the door, and that's when I kept it shut with my hands like, you
- 2 know, don't get out of the car yet.
- Q. Okay. I want to show you Defendant's Exhibit 3. Do you
- 4 recognize that exhibit?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. That's the interior driver's side floorboard of the car?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And that photo shows about three inches of the handgun
- 9 barrel sticking out from under the driver's side seat?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And if I understood your previous answer to my question, you
- 12 never let him swing his legs out to get out of that car?
- 13 A. Not the first time, no.
- 14 Q. And the first time is when you saw the gun?
- 15 A. No. No, the second time when he actually stepped out of the
- 16 car is when I saw the gun.
- 17 Q. So, it wasn't when you were near the front near the mirror.
- 18 It was after you had gone back to the back door area so that he
- 19 could swing it open and create the wedge.
- 20 A. No. I was still -- I'm sorry.
- 21 Q. No, that's okay.
- 22 A. Just like I explained, I was by the mirror here the second
- 23 time when he actually fully got out of the car is when I saw the
- 24 gun.
- 25 Q. But I thought you said that before he fully got out of the

- 1 car, you had moved to the back.
- 2 A. No, I did not say that, sir. I said that as he got out of
- 3 the car, I stepped towards the rear -- the side of the door. I
- 4 repositioned myself as he got out of the car because this is
- 5 after I already observed the gun.
- 6 Q. And that was the point, if I understood your earlier
- 7 testimony, where you were focusing on his hands when he got out
- 8 of the car?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. So, when did you see the gun?
- 11 A. I saw the gun as he was stepping out of the car.
- 12 Q. So, you didn't focus on his hands until after he got out of
- 13 the car?
- 14 A. Well, I still did not -- I saw the gun there as he was
- stepping out of the car. I didn't know what he was going to do
- 16 from that point. I mean, his actions -- from my experience I
- 17 mean, it was -- for me, I was being cautious. It was a red
- 18 | flag. And I saw the gun. He was stepping out of the car. I
- 19 didn't know what he was going to do next. So, yeah, I was
- 20 focusing on his hands.
- Q. And you're absolutely sure that you went to the front near
- 22 the mirror?
- 23 A. Positive.
- Q. After he got out of the car, what happened -- strike that.
- 25 At what point -- was there a point where you yelled

- 1 1032?
- 2 A. Yes. After he stepped -- fully stepped out of the car the
- 3 second time, I positioned myself by the door where I was able to
- 4 grab onto his arm, and I yelled 1032. That's police code for
- 5 man with gun. And Kevin was right there, and he came over. I
- 6 mean, he was pretty much right there. And he also took ahold of
- 7 his arm, his right arm, and I had his left.
- 8 Q. Okay. So, just so I have the sequence of events right,
- 9 you're near the mirror on the front driver's side door. He
- 10 steps out of the car, stands up. You see the firearm depicted
- in Defendant's Exhibit 3. You then step back to the car, grab
- 12 him, and then yell 1032?
- 13 A. I see the -- I saw the gun as he was stepping out of the
- 14 car. At that point he tries to -- he turns as he steps out. He
- gets fully out of the car. He turns and tries to shut the door
- so he can lock it. I grabbed his left arm I said 1032. That
- 17 was what I said.
- 18 Q. Was that the point where you said 1032?
- 19 A. 1032, yeah, when I grabbed --
- Q. So, after he had closed the door, went back, and you grabbed
- 21 ahold of his arm
- 22 A. No. As he tried to slam -- to shut the door, I say 1032,
- and at that point Detective Nordberg had took hold of his right
- 24 arm
- Q. Okay. Can you tell me why you didn't say 1032 the second

- 1 you saw that gun?
- 2 MR. KARNER: Objection. Why doesn't matter here,
- 3 Judge.
- 4 THE COURT: Well, he's testing his recollection. He's
- 5 testing the logic of his actions based upon what was in his
- 6 mind. I don't think there's anything wrong with that. I'll
- 7 allow the question to stand.
- **8 BY THE WITNESS:**
- 9 A. Because he was stepping out of the car. I knew the gun was
- 10 right there on the floorboard. My main concern was getting
- 11 custody of him at that point and told him to turn around. Like
- 12 I say, when I got the 1032, my main concern was to just take
- 13 custody of him at that point.
- 14 BY MR. BYRD:
- Q. Looking at Defendant's Exhibits 3 and 4 -- well, before
- **16 that.**
- 17 Defendant was secured, put in cuffs, and locked into
- 18 the squad car, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. No other people around compromising officer safety?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. No other passengers in the vehicle?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. And you and Detective Nordberg at that point had called for
- 25 backup?

- 1 A. Yes.
- 2 Q. Did you tell Detective Nordberg to conduct a search?
- 3 A. After -- yes. After Mr. Poke was secured, he searched the
- 4 car.
- **Q.** Do you remember if that was before or after Sergeant Stevens
- 6 arrived?
- 7 A. I want to say it was before Sergeant Stevens arrived, I
- 8 believe.
- 9 Q. Defendant's Exhibits -- did you look into the car yourself
- 10 other than when you first saw the gun depicted in Defendant's
- 11 | Exhibit 3?
- 12 A. At that point after -- no, after that point, I was with
- 13 Mr. Poke.
- 14 Q. Okay. Now, at the time you saw that -- is Defendant's
- 15 Exhibit 3 what you saw?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. The defendant would have been stood up and standing in
- between you and that gun, wouldn't he?
- 19 A. No. From where I was positioned, like I say, when he first
- 20 stepped out of the car is when I observed the gun. Like I say,
- 21 my mindset was still focused in what he was doing, his actions,
- when I approached the car. So, when he -- the second time when
- 23 he fully stepped out of the car, I was still looking in that
- 24 area, you know, and I saw the gun, and then from there I
- 25 directed myself to his actions. So, I was looking at his hands

- 1 because I didn't know what he was going to do. In my mind I'm
- 2 assuming that he had to know that I saw the gun there. So,
- yeah, I'm thinking safety at that point.
- 4 Q. Okay. And when you looked into the driver's side
- floorboard, did you see a Cricket Sanyo cell phone?
- 6 A. I don't recall seeing no cell phone at that point.
- 7 Q. Do you recall whether or not you indicated in your report
- 8 that there was a -- or your probable cause statement that there
- 9 was a black -- not a black, but a Cricket Sanyo cell phone that
- was recovered from the front driver's side floorboard of this
- 11 vehicle?
- 12 A. That's what it says in the PC statement; however, I did not
- write that PC statement.
- **14 Q. You did not?**
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Who wrote the PC statement?
- 17 A. I want to say Sergeant Stevens. But I did not write that PC
- 18 statement.
- 19 Q. Would it surprise you to know that Sergeant Stevens says you
- 20 wrote it?
- 21 MR. KARNER: Objection. That's an improper question.
- 22 MR. BYRD: Why?
- 23 THE COURT: Why is it improper?
- MR. KARNER: Judge, a question that asks this witness
- 25 to pass on the credibility of another witness is improper.

- MR. BYRD: I'm not asking him to pass on his
  credibility. I'm asking if he's surprised at the detective's
- 3 answer. That's all.
- 4 MR. KARNER: Whether or not he's surprised or not,
- 5 that's also irrelevant.
- 6 MR. BYRD: I'll withdraw the question.
- 7 BY MR. BYRD:
- 8 Q. Did you review the probable cause statement that indicates
- 9 that you were the officer that prepared it?
- 10 A. After the fact, yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. I want to show you Defendant's Exhibit 5 and ask you
- 12 if you recognize it.
- 13 A. Yes, I recognize it.
- 14 Q. And that is the probable cause statement?
- 15 A. That's the probable cause statement.
- 16 Q. And the probable cause statement states that you were the
- 17 officer, Detective Pruitt, Star 154?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And that the reviewing supervisor is Sergeant Stevens?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. At the very least, you'll grant me that you reviewed this
- 22 report for accuracy?
- 23 A. After the fact, you know, at the time because a lot of times
- during investigations, we've got two or three officers involved
- 25 in the same case. Another officer may write the PC statement.

- Q. Well, the only way that Sergeant Stevens could have wrote
- 2 the PC statement would have been based on information he
- 3 received from you, correct?
- 4 A. Not exactly from me. It would have been -- yeah, it could
- 5 have been from me. It could have been from Detective Nordberg.
- 6 It could have been -- yeah, anyone at the scene.
- 7 Q. Detective Nordberg's name doesn't appear anywhere on that
- 8 statement, does it?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Only your name.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. So, you're saying you did not provide Sergeant Stevens with
- 13 the information for the probable cause statement?
- 14 A. I'm sure I provided some information. I think it was a
- compilation of everyone saying what happened at the time of the
- 16 incident. I can't even say for sure. I don't know for sure if
- 17 he was the one that wrote the PC. I can just tell you for sure
- 18 that I did not write the PC.
- 19 Q. Okay. But you can also tell me for sure that you reviewed
- 20 the PC for accuracy.
- 21 A. I don't remember if I reviewed it the night that it was
- 22 wrote.
- 23 Q. So, in effect, if I understand what you're saying, even
- 24 though it says Detective Pruitt is the officer named for the
- 25 probable cause statement, Sergeant Stevens is the reviewing

- 1 supervisor, you can't tell me who drafted this statement or
- 2 whether or not you reviewed it for accuracy?
- 3 A. I cannot tell you who drafted the PC. All I can tell you,
- 4 sir, is that I did not do it because I would not have wrote the
- 5 PC -- I would have wrote it in the first person.
- 6 Q. Can you authenticate it? Does this appear to be the PC
- 7 statement for this case?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you don't know if you've ever seen it before this
- 10 moment?
- 11 A. I reviewed it after, you know, I knew the case was coming
- 12 up.
- 13 Q. You did review it?
- 14 A. I did review it afterwards.
- Q. But you don't know if you reviewed it anywhere near July 6th
- 16 of 2011?
- 17 A. Well, I'm not saying that because I just don't recall. I
- don't recall specifically, you know.
- 19 Q. Okay. Third line from -- fourth line from the bottom says a
- 20 Cricket Sanyo cell phone was located on the front driver
- 21 floorboard.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. And the front driver's side floorboard you would agree is
- 24 where the gun was located?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. And the green towel?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you see a green -- or I'm sorry. Do you see a Cricket
- 4 Sanyo cell phone in the floorboard in Defendant's Exhibit 3 or
- 5 4?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. Do you dispute the accuracy of that statement in the
- 8 probable cause statement that the cell phone was located in the
- 9 front driver's side floorboard?
- 10 A. I don't dispute the accuracy. I mean, if it was put in
- 11 there, I don't know whether someone else had recovered it there
- 12 | or not, but --
- 13 Q. Well, you said that the defendant also tried to get out of
- 14 | the vehicle twice --
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. -- with you discouraging him from doing that.
- 17 A. Once.
- 18 Q. Did you ask him to get out of the vehicle?
- 19 A. The second time when he said he was getting out to go inside
- 20 his house, at that point, yeah, he -- I allowed him to fully get
- 21 out of the car.
- Q. Okay. My question is did you ask him to get out of the car?
- A. He already made the motion to get out on his own.
- 24 Q. So, that's no?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. The probable cause statement says right here, about the
- 2 middle of the paragraph, the driver was asked to step out of the
- 3 Impala by Detective Pruitt. Is that accurate?
- 4 A. I can't say that's totally accurate. I can't say.
- **Q.** All right. You don't independently remember seeing a Sanyo
- 6 cell phone in the car near the gun that day?
- 7 A. I don't recall seeing the cell phone that day on the
- 8 floorboard.
- 9 Q. If there was a Cricket Sanyo cell phone in the floorboard
- 10 that day, would you or any other detective that you observed
- 11 move that cell phone prior to these photographs being taken?
- 12 A. If there was a cell phone, it would have been taken as
- personal property at the time. That's the only thing I can
- 14 think that could have happened with the cell phone. That was
- taken as personal property, and, therefore, it wouldn't have
- 16 been in the photos because it was put in his personal property
- 17 at the time.
- 18 Q. So, do you know whether or not the scene was manipulated by
- 19 the removal of the cell phone prior to these photos being taken?
- 20 A. I know for sure nothing was manipulated. I said if the cell
- 21 phone was removed, it would have been taken because that's his
- 22 personal property.
- Q. Well, it can also be evidence, can't it?
- 24 A. Well, we didn't know that was going to be considered as
- evidence until the charges were authorized for the particular

- 1 charge for armed violence.
- Q. What about the drugs? Cell phones and drugs are often used
- 3 hand in hand, correct?
- 4 A. Yes, that's correct.
- **Q.** And at the time you see a gun, guns and drugs and cell
- 6 phones are kind of like the unholy trinity of drug trafficking,
- 7 right?
- 8 A. They can be, yes.
- 9 Q. And prior to -- well, I don't want to talk in hypotheticals.
- 10 Did you remove the cell phone prior to these photos being taken?
- 11 A. No, I did not remove the cell phone.
- 12 Q. Did you see Detective Nordberg remove the cell phone prior
- 13 to --
- 14 A. I did not -- I did not know who removed the cell phone, like
- 15 I said.
- 16 Q. Do you know if anyone removed a cell phone?
- 17 A. Someone must have removed a cell phone, and the only
- 18 thing -- I can't sit here and guess. I mean, it had to been
- 19 taken as personal property at the time.
- Q. So, in order for the cell phone to have been removed from
- 21 the driver's side floorboard, someone would have had to have
- been down in there near that gun, near that towel to remove the
- cell phone.
- 24 MR. KARNER: Calls for speculation, Judge. Objection.
- 25 THE COURT: I don't think it calls for speculation.

- 1 The witness can testify as to what he knows based upon the facts
- 2 that exist in the case.
- 3 BY THE WITNESS:
- 4 A. I don't know anything about the cell phone. All I know is
- 5 that, you know, what my observations were from the point seeing
- 6 the gun, and after that, I did not do any interior search of the
- 7 car.
- 8 BY MR. BYRD:
- 9 Q. Okay. But you know that the probable cause statement says
- 10 it was in the front driver's side floorboard, and you know that
- 11 Defendant's Exhibit 3 doesn't show it.
- 12 MR. KARNER: Judge, this has been asked and answered.
- 13 THE COURT: I agree. I think we've wrung about as much
- 14 information as we can as to these facts.
- MR. BYRD: Well, can I just ask about the silver box?
- 16 THE COURT: Sure.
- 17 BY MR. BYRD:
- 18 Q. You see in Defendant's Exhibit 3 a silver box mounted on the
- 19 dashboard?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. That doesn't appear to be a Sanyo Cricket cell phone, does
- 22 it?
- 23 | A. No.
- 24 Q. Okay. And it's not on the floorboard?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Okay. So, after the gun's observed, Detective Nordberg does
- 2 a search, you believe, before Sergeant Stevens gets there?
- 3 A. I believe so.
- 4 Q. And the defendant's handcuffed and secured in the back seat
- 5 of the squad car?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. There's no threat of officer safety. Other officers arrive
- 8 on the scene?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you have anything to do with the decision to impound the
- 11 | car?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Did you have anything to do with any inventory search or
- search of the vehicle at all?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. That was conducted by Detective Nordberg?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do you know whether or not Detective Nordberg was conducting
- a search incident to arrest or an inventory search?
- 20 MR. KARNER: Objection. That's a legal conclusion for
- 21 the court to make.
- 22 MR. BYRD: Okay. I'll withdraw the question.
- 23 BY MR. BYRD:
- Q. After the defendant was taken into custody, would you have
- 25 had any occasion to be present at his booking process?

1 A. No.

- Q. Would you have had in the course of your investigation any occasion to review his medical inmate acceptance form at the Winnebago County Justice Center?
- MR. KARNER: Judge, I object. This is post seizure. This cannot possibly be relevant.

MR. BYRD: Oh, if I can explain how it is. This document that's entitled Winnebago County Justice Center medical intake acceptance form under the observation section indicates that the defendant has limited use of his right arm due to multiple scars. I think that would go directly to the issue of whether or not he was reaching under his seat with his right arm at the time.

MR. KARNER: That document's a comment made by the defendant after the fact. Number one, that's hearsay. That's information provided by the defendant. And, number two, it's not relevant because what's relevant is what the officer observed at the time that he engaged with the defendant.

MR. BYRD: The document has two parts. One is observations made by the intake officer. The other is inquiries of the defendant. The limited use of right arm due to multiple scars is --

THE COURT: I believe that the defense attorney has the right to confront the witness with alleged discrepancies and the consistency of the evidence. I think this goes to that. I'll

- 1 allow the question to stand.
- 2 BY MR. BYRD:
- 3 Q. Were you present at the -- or did you have occasion to
- 4 review his medical intake acceptance form as part of your
- 5 investigation in this case?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. He did at the scene complain of immobility of his right arm
- 8 correct?
- 9 A. At the point where we were trying to handcuff him he had
- 10 made the remark that he was shot by an AK-47.
- 11 Q. And did you have occasion to see the scarring on his arm
- 12 from that wound?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Did you make any effort to check with the owners of that
- 15 residence to determine if they had any problem with the vehicle
- 16 being present in their driveway?
- 17 A. At the time of the stop?
- 18 Q. Yes.
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. At any point prior to the vehicle being impounded?
- 21 A. No.
- MR. BYRD: May I have a moment, your Honor? I'm almost
- **23** done.
- 24 THE COURT: Sure.
- 25 (Brief pause.)

	Pruitt - Cross
1	BY MR. BYRD:
2	Q. Thank you, Detective Pruitt.
3	MR. BYRD: I'll pass the witness, Judge.
4	CROSS EXAMINATION
5	BY MR. KARNER:
6	Q. When you approached the driver's side of the car, you saw
7	defendant making a movement as if he was moving something around
8	on the driver's side floorboard; is that correct?
9	A. That's correct.
10	Q. And he tried to get out of the car?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. And you told him to get back in the car?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. Actually, you ordered him to stay inside the car?
15	A. That's correct.
16	Q. And he did not obey that order, correct?
17	A. No.
18	MR. KARNER: Nothing further.
19	MR. BYRD: I have nothing further, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: You may step down, Detective Pruitt.
21	(Witness excused.)
22	MR. BYRD: Your Honor, at this time I would move to
23	admit Defendant's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8.
24	THE COURT: Any objection?
25	MR. KARNER: No, not for the purposes of this hearing.

```
THE COURT:
 1
                            They will be admitted.
 2
           (Defendant's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8 were offered
 3
           and received in evidence.)
 4
                THE COURT: May I have those?
 5
                MR. BYRD:
                           Yes, Judge. I left the sticky marks on
 6
      Exhibits 6 and 8, just so you know where the page is. Is that
 7
      all right?
                THE COURT:
                            Fi ne.
8
 9
                MR. BYRD:
                           And I'll give you 5, 6, and 8. You already
10
      have 1 through 4.
                          And I have no further witnesses.
11
                            Does the government have any witnesses?
                THE COURT:
12
                MR. KARNER:
                             I don't believe so, Judge.
13
                THE COURT:
                            I'll ask the parties to prepare
14
      post-hearing briefs.
                             One issue that immediately occurs to me is
      why this isn't a classic example of the automobile exception.
15
16
                MR. BYRD:
                           Judge, because at the time that the search
17
      was conducted -- and I'll address this further in the memo.
18
                THE COURT:
                            Right.
19
                MR. BYRD:
                           At the time the search was conducted -- I
20
      guess it depends on whether or not the court finds Detective
      Pruitt to be credible as to the plain view.
21
22
                THE COURT: Isn't that the critical factual question
      here?
23
24
                MR. BYRD:
                           Yes.
25
                THE COURT:
                            Whether Officer Pruitt actually saw the
```

1	barrel of the gun sticking out of the front of the driver's
2	seat.
3	MR. BYRD: Right. And whether or not
4	THE COURT: And if I do find that, then it appears to
5	be an automobile exception case. If I don't find it, then the
6	rest of your argument flows from that.
7	MR. BYRD: I don't disagree with your Honor. I'll
8	address that in the meno.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. How much time do you
10	need?
11	MR. BYRD: Judge, I would ask that the court allow a
12	transcript to be prepared for purposes of preparing post-hearing
13	memo, and assuming I can get that in the next seven to ten days,
14	I'd ask for 21 days from today.
15	MR. KARNER: I'd ask for 28 days, Judge. I have a
16	brief that is due to be filed with the Seventh Circuit on
17	September 14th.
18	MR. BYRD: I'm quite all right with that. I have a
19	sentencing memorandum due to your Honor next week.
20	THE COURT: All right. Do you want 28 days after his
21	21 days?
22	MR. KARNER: No, not 28 after his, but if I could have
23	mine 28 days from today.
24	MR. BYRD: And I'll ask for 28. Just do them at the
25	same time, if that's all right.

THE COURT: All right. That's fine. 28 days after the 1 2 transcript. MR. KARNER: 3 If that's okay. 4 MR. BYRD: That's fine with me. I'll ask you to get the briefs to me by the 5 THE COURT: 6 close of business on October 5th. MR. KARNER: October 5th? Will that work for you, Mr. Byrd? 8 THE COURT: 9 MR. BYRD: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: See you then. Thank you for your help. 11 Judge, I move to toll the period of time MR. KARNER: 12 from today through and including October 5th, 2012 -- well, 13 actually, to the next court date, through and including the next 14 court date, from calculation of the speedy trial period pursuant 15 to 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(1)(D) as this is a delay from the filing of 16 the pretrial motion through prompt disposition, prompt hearing 17 and disposition. 18 THE COURT: All right. I don't see any problems with 19 that, except I don't think the rule contemplates suspending the 20 period to the next court date. It's suspended until I decide 21 the case. 22 MR. KARNER: Okay. And so, is there any objection to excluding 23 THE COURT: 24 from the speedy trial period the time from now until the time 25 that I issue an opinion?

```
MR. BYRD:
1
                           No, your Honor.
                            All right.
2
                THE COURT:
                                        Time will be excluded.
 3
                MR. KARNER:
                             Thank you.
                            Have a good weekend.
                THE COURT:
 4
 5
                MR. BYRD:
                           Thank you, Judge.
           (Which were all the proceedings had in the above-entitled
 6
           cause on the day and date aforesaid.)
 7
          I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
8
9
      the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
10
11
      Mary T. Lindbloom
12
      Official Court Reporter
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```